

WEBVTT - This file was automatically generated by event.video

0

00:00:01.200 --> 00:00:04.300

Hearings to assumed that five past 12

1

00:00:04.300 --> 00:00:06.800

so it's a little bit warmer in the room.

2

00:00:09.500 --> 00:00:09.800

and that

3

00:00:10.900 --> 00:00:13.300

so I had back to Mrs. Taylor. I

4

00:00:13.300 --> 00:00:16.400

just been discussing we would just

5

00:00:16.400 --> 00:00:16.600

a little bit.

6

00:00:21.600 --> 00:00:24.400

Will carry on till about

7

00:00:24.400 --> 00:00:27.700

one o'clock then take the

8

00:00:27.700 --> 00:00:31.400

temperature of the room is how you feel that how long how

9

00:00:30.400 --> 00:00:34.500

long will lunch should be made trying

10

00:00:33.500 --> 00:00:37.500

not to make it a full hour. So

11

00:00:36.500 --> 00:00:41.300

it's still quite a bit to get through. So obvious.

12

00:00:44.200 --> 00:00:47.400

Thank you very much Mr. King before I pick up where we left

13

00:00:47.400 --> 00:00:50.300  
off before the break just like

14

00:00:50.300 --> 00:00:53.400  
to clarify something please with the applicant.

15

00:00:54.400 --> 00:00:57.400  
Mr. Turney, yes you

16

00:00:57.400 --> 00:01:00.400  
we were talking about post consent survey work

17

00:01:00.400 --> 00:01:04.000  
pre-construction survey work and additional survey

18

00:01:03.100 --> 00:01:07.000  
work that the applicant is interning to end take and

19

00:01:06.700 --> 00:01:09.500  
I just wanted if you could clarify please how

20

00:01:09.500 --> 00:01:12.100  
that will be secure through the length.

21

00:01:13.400 --> 00:01:17.700  
Richardson for the applicant. Yes, the the lamb refers

22

00:01:16.700 --> 00:01:20.200  
to the potential for further

23

00:01:19.200 --> 00:01:22.600  
survey work and that links

24

00:01:22.600 --> 00:01:24.700  
into the way in which

25

00:01:26.900 --> 00:01:29.400  
ecological effects generally are managed through

26

00:01:29.400 --> 00:01:32.100

the construction process. So there are

27

00:01:32.100 --> 00:01:35.100

there's provisioning to them. I won't give

28

00:01:35.100 --> 00:01:38.700

you the paragraphs, but we'll put them in our place here at submission for walk over

29

00:01:38.700 --> 00:01:41.400

surveys to take place and for the outcome

30

00:01:41.400 --> 00:01:43.600

of those surveys to be reported back to

31

00:01:45.100 --> 00:01:49.000

the ecological Clock Works and management through

32

00:01:49.700 --> 00:01:52.800

that process there's also reference

33

00:01:52.800 --> 00:01:53.000

to

34

00:01:54.900 --> 00:01:57.700

as I've already said to the ecological Advisory

35

00:01:57.700 --> 00:02:01.000

Group and the need for consideration of

36

00:02:00.600 --> 00:02:04.000

adaptive management. So changing ecological prescriptions

37

00:02:03.500 --> 00:02:07.300

in the particular areas that

38

00:02:06.300 --> 00:02:09.900

are management ecological purposes and more generally.

39

00:02:09.900 --> 00:02:12.400

So that's how

40

00:02:12.400 --> 00:02:15.100

further survey work is going to feed in we will

41

00:02:15.100 --> 00:02:18.600

pull out the references. The short answer is it is through the land will pull

42

00:02:18.600 --> 00:02:19.100

out the references.

43

00:02:20.400 --> 00:02:21.600

Right. Thank you.

44

00:02:28.800 --> 00:02:31.200

Right. So I think we'd finished a discussion about

45

00:02:31.200 --> 00:02:35.500

Stone curlews and I had

46

00:02:35.500 --> 00:02:39.000

moved on to Badgers. I

47

00:02:38.200 --> 00:02:41.300

can't remember whether we concluded the

48

00:02:41.300 --> 00:02:44.300

point about badgers and I had asked

49

00:02:44.300 --> 00:02:48.500

about mitigation for Badgers. So

50

00:02:47.500 --> 00:02:50.500

yeah, you couldn't

51

00:02:50.500 --> 00:02:52.500

would you like to pick that point up?

52

00:02:56.100 --> 00:02:59.300

Yes, just to sort of

53

00:02:59.300 --> 00:03:00.400  
recap that.

54

00:03:02.200 --> 00:03:05.300  
There won't be any in Impact. Certainly that

55

00:03:05.300 --> 00:03:08.700  
would necessitate applying for a license in relation

56

00:03:08.700 --> 00:03:11.700  
to Badgers because as an

57

00:03:11.700 --> 00:03:13.500  
indicator badges have

58

00:03:15.300 --> 00:03:18.300  
an improved environment in terms of the amount

59

00:03:18.300 --> 00:03:21.200  
of foraging that's available to them and the lack

60

00:03:21.200 --> 00:03:25.700  
of disturbance and in terms of Agriculture agricultural activity.

61

00:03:25.700 --> 00:03:29.400  
So overall and the

62

00:03:28.400 --> 00:03:31.500  
badger population will benefit from

63

00:03:31.500 --> 00:03:34.500  
what's proposed. Would you be

64

00:03:34.500 --> 00:03:37.200  
interning to install Badger gates

65

00:03:37.200 --> 00:03:38.200  
in the fencing?

66

00:03:39.400 --> 00:03:42.400

Mr. Turning well, yeah, I cannot

67

00:03:42.400 --> 00:03:45.200

that's I can ask that. Yes, and I will know there's no

68

00:03:45.200 --> 00:03:48.400

need for Gates that the fencing will be permeable to

69

00:03:48.400 --> 00:03:51.500

Badges and other mammals that

70

00:03:51.500 --> 00:03:54.500

can move and move and use the

71

00:03:54.500 --> 00:03:57.100

habitat within the within the

72

00:03:57.100 --> 00:04:00.500

grassland areas right? Thank

73

00:04:00.500 --> 00:04:03.800

you. Are there any other comments on badges

74

00:04:03.800 --> 00:04:04.600

specifically?

75

00:04:07.100 --> 00:04:09.000

Mr. Casalco

76

00:04:12.600 --> 00:04:15.000

By Mr. Whitfield for Xanax Seneca. I'm actually

77

00:04:15.200 --> 00:04:18.100

just going to pick up on a point that was raised by a member of the public to me

78

00:04:18.100 --> 00:04:21.200

just in the previous speaking. You're like sorry. I'm just gonna pick up on a point

79

00:04:21.200 --> 00:04:24.500

that was raised by member of the public to me in the break. Which didn't

80

00:04:24.500 --> 00:04:27.900

quite chime with what I just heard. I I understood that.

81

00:04:28.900 --> 00:04:31.200

There was it was told that the fences wouldn't be

82

00:04:31.200 --> 00:04:34.500

permanently built a badges but educates would be installed. So I

83

00:04:34.500 --> 00:04:38.000

just want to see Clarity on that specifically just for

84

00:04:37.600 --> 00:04:40.200

the ladies benefit not mine.

85

00:04:41.400 --> 00:04:43.600

Mr. Turney and that point be clarified

86

00:04:46.700 --> 00:04:49.200

I thought that was the best way to just answered but I'll

87

00:04:49.200 --> 00:04:52.000

ask him to confirm. Yes. I can confirm that.

88

00:04:52.800 --> 00:04:55.500

So we're talking about permeable fencing rather

89

00:04:55.500 --> 00:04:58.100

than permeable fencing. Yes.

90

00:05:00.700 --> 00:05:03.600

You think me, you know, I've seen conventional dear fencing

91

00:05:03.600 --> 00:05:04.500

with a badger gate.

92

00:05:05.500 --> 00:05:07.700

What would permeable fencing?

93

00:05:08.600 --> 00:05:11.800

Look like a sufficient Gap

94

00:05:11.800 --> 00:05:14.600

in order for animals in

95

00:05:14.600 --> 00:05:17.400

order to enter an exit those areas.

96

00:05:18.300 --> 00:05:19.700

Which sort of takes me on?

97

00:05:20.300 --> 00:05:23.400

To my next question in a way, which would that allow dare through

98

00:05:23.400 --> 00:05:27.300

and what sort size of mammal are we talking about here what

99

00:05:26.300 --> 00:05:29.500

the badger would be the larger the large

100

00:05:29.500 --> 00:05:32.000

largest the batteries, but not dear. Correct? Yes.

101

00:05:32.600 --> 00:05:33.500

Okay going back to

102

00:05:36.700 --> 00:05:37.400

mystical

103

00:05:39.300 --> 00:05:42.000

I'm still rather confused. Are you talking about the size of

104

00:05:42.100 --> 00:05:44.500

the mesh or you're talking about gaps at the base of fence?

105

00:05:45.800 --> 00:05:48.600

Maxwell comes I'm talking about the gaps

106



00:05:48.600 --> 00:05:51.000  
of basically the fence.

107  
00:05:57.800 --> 00:06:00.200  
I'd just like to pick up again in relation

108  
00:06:00.200 --> 00:06:03.500  
to dear. I know dear are not a protective species,

109  
00:06:03.500 --> 00:06:07.600  
but I am conscious that on the accompanied site

110  
00:06:07.600 --> 00:06:12.300  
inspection. We did see deer and in

111  
00:06:10.300 --> 00:06:14.000  
relation to the the

112  
00:06:13.500 --> 00:06:16.100  
size of the scheme and the extent of the

113  
00:06:16.100 --> 00:06:17.500  
fenced areas.

114  
00:06:18.500 --> 00:06:21.600  
I wondered whether any of the interested part

115  
00:06:21.600 --> 00:06:24.500  
is present could help me with a question I have which is

116  
00:06:24.500 --> 00:06:27.500  
if dear are excluded from

117  
00:06:28.400 --> 00:06:31.700  
the main areas of the within the

118  
00:06:31.700 --> 00:06:35.200  
order limits whether they would then be any knock-on

119  
00:06:34.200 --> 00:06:38.000  
effects on dear movements grading grazing

120

00:06:37.400 --> 00:06:41.100  
patterns over the intervening areas

121

00:06:40.100 --> 00:06:43.200  
of land. And is anyone present who

122

00:06:43.200 --> 00:06:46.500  
would have that sort of knowledge, Mr. Kazelko?

123

00:06:48.200 --> 00:06:51.000  
I can help. Yes Dominic Whitfield for say no to Seneca. I can

124

00:06:51.200 --> 00:06:54.700  
help in the generic sense. What will

125

00:06:54.700 --> 00:06:57.500  
happen is that impacts from deer on agricultural land

126

00:06:57.500 --> 00:07:00.200  
will radiate out from from the sites. So there will

127

00:07:00.200 --> 00:07:03.100  
be knock on impacts. I don't know if this has been looked at as  
part of the

128

00:07:03.100 --> 00:07:06.500  
application and obviously as you say deer won't be a focus for  
ecological

129

00:07:06.500 --> 00:07:10.000  
assessment because they're not protected species. But the analogy

130

00:07:09.200 --> 00:07:12.100  
I would draw is that aside quite

131

00:07:12.100 --> 00:07:15.700  
local to me or we did site during lockdown that became

132

00:07:15.700 --> 00:07:19.000  
an intensively use site for recreation and all

133

00:07:18.100 --> 00:07:21.200

the deer from that side effectively left in

134

00:07:21.200 --> 00:07:24.400

headed out into the surrounding over cultural fields and cause real problems

135

00:07:24.400 --> 00:07:27.700

there with with crop damage. So there will

136

00:07:27.700 --> 00:07:31.100

be displacement effect and it will surrounding effects

137

00:07:30.100 --> 00:07:33.500

but they will probably be largely agricultural rather than

138

00:07:33.500 --> 00:07:34.000

anything else.

139

00:07:37.500 --> 00:07:40.200

Mr. Turney, did you wish to comment on

140

00:07:40.200 --> 00:07:44.600

the deer issue? Yes. I think it's

141

00:07:45.300 --> 00:07:45.800

slightly

142

00:07:47.100 --> 00:07:50.100

complicated in terms of the different species of deers on the

143

00:07:50.100 --> 00:07:53.200

one hand you have rode here, but also you have muntjac dear

144

00:07:53.200 --> 00:07:54.100

and

145

00:07:54.900 --> 00:07:57.900

in response to the commenters as

146

00:07:57.900 --> 00:08:00.800  
to the deer moving into

147

00:08:00.800 --> 00:08:03.700  
agricultural land and obviously they

148

00:08:03.700 --> 00:08:06.500  
they do use agricultural land but there's considerable

149

00:08:06.500 --> 00:08:10.000  
resource within the the scheme in

150

00:08:09.200 --> 00:08:12.500  
terms of the grassland that's been created where there

151

00:08:12.500 --> 00:08:16.500  
will have access and margins to

152

00:08:18.900 --> 00:08:21.600  
Fields Etc. So that would largely

153

00:08:21.600 --> 00:08:24.700  
what would to a degree I wouldn't

154

00:08:24.700 --> 00:08:28.100  
be able to say to what extent provide alternative

155

00:08:27.100 --> 00:08:30.700  
grazing for for the

156

00:08:30.700 --> 00:08:31.000  
deer.

157

00:08:31.700 --> 00:08:34.600  
But that much act

158

00:08:34.600 --> 00:08:38.100  
there are as much a problem. Whereas sort

159

00:08:37.100 --> 00:08:40.200

of Road here being a native species will

160

00:08:40.200 --> 00:08:41.300  
be seen as more desirable.

161

00:08:42.600 --> 00:08:46.200  
Thank you. Thank you very much. I'm moving

162

00:08:46.200 --> 00:08:50.200  
on now to bats and msma Kenzie

163

00:08:49.200 --> 00:08:52.300  
ferling tonight punches you raised that's

164

00:08:52.300 --> 00:08:57.000  
earlier but to the applicant firstly in

165

00:08:55.200 --> 00:08:58.200  
relation to bats the local

166

00:08:58.200 --> 00:09:01.500  
authorities have made detailed comments in relation to bats,  
especially

167

00:09:01.500 --> 00:09:04.400  
in terms of potential impacts on trees and

168

00:09:04.400 --> 00:09:07.400  
head roads used by bats through roostium

169

00:09:07.400 --> 00:09:10.500  
foraging we'll be picking up more on

170

00:09:10.500 --> 00:09:13.400  
issues relating to Korean Woodland

171

00:09:13.400 --> 00:09:16.400  
surveys and later on but

172

00:09:16.400 --> 00:09:19.400  
will you be revisiting your assessment of

173

00:09:19.400 --> 00:09:22.600

the impact on bats and proposed mitigation measures

174

00:09:22.600 --> 00:09:25.700

in the light of the arboricultural impact

175

00:09:25.700 --> 00:09:27.800

report that you've now submitted?

176

00:09:31.800 --> 00:09:34.500

But Maxway for the applicant.

177

00:09:36.200 --> 00:09:37.000

and I think importantly

178

00:09:39.200 --> 00:09:40.900

as an ecologist we have been.

179

00:09:42.700 --> 00:09:45.700

Working in terms of the trees and

180

00:09:45.700 --> 00:09:48.400

hedgerows and resources and working

181

00:09:48.400 --> 00:09:53.900

with our agriculturists. So there

182

00:09:51.900 --> 00:09:54.200

really isn't

183

00:09:54.200 --> 00:09:58.600

anything within the arboricultural impact assessment that is

184

00:09:57.600 --> 00:10:00.500

a surprise in you in any way we

185

00:10:00.500 --> 00:10:04.400

have been working to that throughout and

186

00:10:03.400 --> 00:10:06.100  
again this I think come back

187  
00:10:06.100 --> 00:10:09.800  
comes back to surveys which we covered

188  
00:10:09.800 --> 00:10:12.400  
earlier on and again I can and you

189  
00:10:12.400 --> 00:10:16.400  
really just reassure you that detailed extensive

190  
00:10:15.400 --> 00:10:18.700  
surveys have been undertaken and

191  
00:10:18.700 --> 00:10:21.100  
of the bats that use the area

192  
00:10:21.100 --> 00:10:25.900  
to the extent of including a

193  
00:10:25.900 --> 00:10:28.100  
certain amount of trapping as well as

194  
00:10:28.100 --> 00:10:31.600  
the usual surveys that we would undertake.

195  
00:10:31.600 --> 00:10:35.500  
So we have a very good insight into the bats and

196  
00:10:35.500 --> 00:10:37.300  
way back to use the use the site.

197  
00:10:39.700 --> 00:10:42.200  
The main features in terms

198  
00:10:42.200 --> 00:10:45.600  
of the hedgerows field margins and other Associated

199  
00:10:45.600 --> 00:10:49.400  
habitat will will in the main be retained.

200

00:10:48.400 --> 00:10:52.100  
So so that overall impact

201

00:10:51.100 --> 00:10:55.100  
on bats will be limited and

202

00:10:54.100 --> 00:10:58.300  
there will also now be more foraging

203

00:10:57.300 --> 00:11:00.900  
available to them particularly in

204

00:11:00.900 --> 00:11:03.300  
and around the grassland habitats.

205

00:11:04.700 --> 00:11:07.700  
From the point of view about Ruth's and batteries assessments.

206

00:11:07.700 --> 00:11:12.200  
We kept a close eye on those trees

207

00:11:11.200 --> 00:11:15.800  
and other features that could provide suitable Roost

208

00:11:14.800 --> 00:11:18.300  
and resources and

209

00:11:17.300 --> 00:11:21.200  
there were concerns

210

00:11:20.200 --> 00:11:24.400  
in relation to the Burwell

211

00:11:23.400 --> 00:11:28.100  
station of I think

212

00:11:28.100 --> 00:11:32.000  
two or three trees, but with that

213



00:11:31.200 --> 00:11:32.600  
now and

214  
00:11:34.200 --> 00:11:38.100  
the options being removed associated with that and that

215  
00:11:37.100 --> 00:11:40.400  
that has gone away and as

216  
00:11:40.400 --> 00:11:43.500  
it stands at the moment as with the badges

217  
00:11:43.500 --> 00:11:47.600  
and Natural England and have provided

218  
00:11:47.600 --> 00:11:50.200  
agreement that at the moment, we we do not need

219  
00:11:50.200 --> 00:11:53.400  
to ask for a letter of name pedimenting that

220  
00:11:53.400 --> 00:11:56.200  
we will not be applied for any if you're not see the

221  
00:11:56.200 --> 00:11:58.500  
need to be applying for any licenses.

222  
00:11:59.600 --> 00:12:02.300  
Again, things could change

223  
00:12:02.300 --> 00:12:05.900  
and we will be doing a

224  
00:12:05.900 --> 00:12:09.300  
check in terms of potential roof

225  
00:12:08.300 --> 00:12:12.100  
sites and bats as part of the pretty frequent

226  
00:12:11.100 --> 00:12:14.500  
leading up to the constructions part of

227

00:12:14.500 --> 00:12:17.100

the pre-construction surveys. So again, that would be

228

00:12:17.100 --> 00:12:19.500

reflected in the lab in the in the lamp IND.

229

00:12:20.100 --> 00:12:24.200

Thank you. Are there any other comment simulation to mitigation for

230

00:12:23.200 --> 00:12:24.700

bats?

231

00:12:25.800 --> 00:12:28.200

And one for me Ed grant for the West

232

00:12:28.200 --> 00:12:31.700

Suffolk Council so far as the position in receptive bats.

233

00:12:31.900 --> 00:12:34.400

That that's pain in parasitic to

234

00:12:34.400 --> 00:12:37.500

some extent on the tree surveys, and I

235

00:12:37.500 --> 00:12:40.500

know that you're going to come to those when when we

236

00:12:40.500 --> 00:12:43.800

get onto the landscape part of the the agenda

237

00:12:43.800 --> 00:12:47.000

when we do get there and you

238

00:12:46.300 --> 00:12:49.400

hear from us and or other councils about

239

00:12:49.400 --> 00:12:52.400

reservations concerns and certain

240

00:12:52.400 --> 00:12:56.200  
tree surveys that that inevitably leads on

241  
00:12:55.200 --> 00:12:58.100  
to the residual concerns we have

242  
00:12:58.100 --> 00:13:01.800  
in respect of bats. Yes, that was certainly my intention. Yeah

243  
00:13:01.800 --> 00:13:02.400  
very much.

244  
00:13:03.500 --> 00:13:07.100  
Thank you turning now to arable flora

245  
00:13:06.100 --> 00:13:09.300  
and this is a question for

246  
00:13:09.300 --> 00:13:12.400  
the local authorities. We've already touched on survey work

247  
00:13:12.400 --> 00:13:15.400  
and in your joint local impact report you

248  
00:13:15.400 --> 00:13:18.300  
suggest that compensation habitats needs to

249  
00:13:18.300 --> 00:13:21.400  
be redesigned and relocated to form functional and

250  
00:13:21.400 --> 00:13:23.800  
connected areas. Could you expand on that, please?

251  
00:13:28.600 --> 00:13:33.400  
again, and

252  
00:13:34.800 --> 00:13:38.300  
So far as that's concerned the position for the

253  
00:13:38.300 --> 00:13:41.800  
councils is set out in the lir and

254

00:13:41.800 --> 00:13:45.400  
8.92 to 8.95 and

255

00:13:44.400 --> 00:13:47.100  
again the command.

256

00:13:48.100 --> 00:13:51.400  
the E subdivide that as it were it's four points

257

00:13:51.400 --> 00:13:55.200  
the rise and and update on

258

00:13:54.200 --> 00:13:58.600  
the position and respect of those for points

259

00:13:57.600 --> 00:13:59.500  
and

260

00:14:00.400 --> 00:14:02.000  
the first of those

261

00:14:04.400 --> 00:14:06.700  
if you just bear with me and

262

00:14:08.100 --> 00:14:13.200  
the first of those is it's set

263

00:14:12.200 --> 00:14:15.400  
out an 8.92 and it deals

264

00:14:15.400 --> 00:14:17.200  
with the issue of

265

00:14:18.200 --> 00:14:20.800  
of the quantification

266

00:14:22.200 --> 00:14:23.100  
of the

267

00:14:24.900 --> 00:14:27.700  
of the proposed habitat and the

268  
00:14:27.700 --> 00:14:30.900  
comparison with the with the

269  
00:14:30.900 --> 00:14:31.200  
loss.

270  
00:14:33.600 --> 00:14:33.700  
in terms of

271  
00:14:34.800 --> 00:14:35.600  
quantification

272  
00:14:36.800 --> 00:14:39.300  
Our understanding is that hasn't yet been done

273  
00:14:39.300 --> 00:14:40.200  
but ought to be done.

274  
00:14:42.400 --> 00:14:44.500  
in respect of the comparison

275  
00:14:46.200 --> 00:14:49.900  
We understand that the intention is for that to be done by deadline  
five.

276  
00:14:49.900 --> 00:14:52.600  
And so the our

277  
00:14:52.600 --> 00:14:55.200  
position is we're content with deadline five in respect of

278  
00:14:55.200 --> 00:14:58.100  
the comparison part of that process.

279  
00:15:01.300 --> 00:15:05.100  
So far as the second point, which is identified in

280  
00:15:04.100 --> 00:15:06.800

8.93.

281

00:15:08.400 --> 00:15:11.800  
that is a concern about

282

00:15:11.800 --> 00:15:12.100  
the

283

00:15:13.400 --> 00:15:14.900  
small size

284

00:15:16.300 --> 00:15:17.500  
a proposed

285

00:15:18.300 --> 00:15:20.300  
habitats and a lack of connectivity

286

00:15:22.700 --> 00:15:25.200  
and so far as that is concerned that that we

287

00:15:25.200 --> 00:15:26.300  
say hasn't been addressed.

288

00:15:27.500 --> 00:15:30.500  
Adequately or at all in our view

289

00:15:30.500 --> 00:15:33.000  
in the applicants response.

290

00:15:35.500 --> 00:15:37.600  
So remains a matter entirely outstanding.

291

00:15:39.200 --> 00:15:43.400  
The third in the list of four is set

292

00:15:42.400 --> 00:15:45.200  
out an 8.94.

293

00:15:46.900 --> 00:15:49.100  
and that relates to the

294  
00:15:50.700 --> 00:15:52.600  
proposition from the applicant

295  
00:15:53.500 --> 00:15:56.000  
that construction activities

296  
00:15:57.300 --> 00:15:59.200  
and may or will

297  
00:16:00.300 --> 00:16:03.300  
give rise to benefits in a threat of arable Flora.

298  
00:16:04.700 --> 00:16:07.400  
And the position so far as that's concerned it

299  
00:16:07.400 --> 00:16:10.000  
is that we're at the

300  
00:16:10.200 --> 00:16:11.600  
moment in the dark as it were.

301  
00:16:12.200 --> 00:16:15.600  
as to which aspects of the construction activities

302  
00:16:16.600 --> 00:16:17.700  
at the applicant

303  
00:16:18.600 --> 00:16:21.400  
identifies as giving rise to those benefits so that's

304  
00:16:21.400 --> 00:16:22.200  
information, which

305  
00:16:23.400 --> 00:16:24.500  
And we regard is outstanding.

306  
00:16:26.700 --> 00:16:29.400  
And fourth and finally is the point which is made at

307  
00:16:29.400 --> 00:16:30.300

8.95.

308

00:16:32.600 --> 00:16:35.600

Which is that the areas for scarce arable

309

00:16:35.600 --> 00:16:38.600

plants need to be created in a joined up way around

310

00:16:38.600 --> 00:16:41.500

the margins of fields in areas

311

00:16:41.500 --> 00:16:43.000

away from solar panels.

312

00:16:45.100 --> 00:16:48.600

And so far as that issue is concerned

313

00:16:48.600 --> 00:16:49.000

again.

314

00:16:50.400 --> 00:16:53.500

Haven't been able to identify a material

315

00:16:53.500 --> 00:16:56.200

response from the applicant and respect of that issue.

316

00:16:56.800 --> 00:16:58.400

So those are the four issues.

317

00:16:59.200 --> 00:17:02.300

And they largely as we see it

318

00:17:02.300 --> 00:17:03.500

remain unaddressed.

319

00:17:04.900 --> 00:17:07.300

Thank you, Mr. God before I turned to the

320

00:17:07.300 --> 00:17:10.300

applicant. I wouldn't yes Mr. Mohammad. Yes



321

00:17:10.300 --> 00:17:14.800

me I bring in this armored online again for her brief. Yes. Thank

322

00:17:13.800 --> 00:17:16.900

you very much. Yes, Deborah almed

323

00:17:16.900 --> 00:17:19.200

ecology officerate came. She can't Council. Yes. I

324

00:17:19.200 --> 00:17:22.200

okay. We're suffix comments in

325

00:17:22.200 --> 00:17:25.300

this regard. We just don't have enough detail from the

326

00:17:25.300 --> 00:17:29.000

applicant in their response on page 20

327

00:17:28.100 --> 00:17:32.100

nine and 30 of their response

328

00:17:31.100 --> 00:17:34.800

to our liir, which is rep free 19.

329

00:17:34.800 --> 00:17:38.600

It says that there'll be details provided

330

00:17:38.600 --> 00:17:41.300

in the final Lemp and I'm

331

00:17:41.300 --> 00:17:44.500

unclear what that document is. Does that mean the next

332

00:17:44.500 --> 00:17:47.400

version of the outline Lemp or are they

333

00:17:47.400 --> 00:17:51.400

waiting for this to come forward as part of detailed design

334

00:17:51.400 --> 00:17:54.400

and my our issue with that is that we haven't

335

00:17:54.400 --> 00:17:57.800

even got the basic fundamental understanding that

336

00:17:57.800 --> 00:18:00.700

any arable floor

337

00:18:00.700 --> 00:18:03.100

can be delivered as part of this scheme, and we really

338

00:18:03.100 --> 00:18:03.800

need to have at least

339

00:18:04.900 --> 00:18:07.700

Understand in before we can consider whether

340

00:18:07.700 --> 00:18:11.000

it's been adequately addressed. I can

341

00:18:10.100 --> 00:18:13.900

give you some examples of this at the environmental

342

00:18:13.900 --> 00:18:16.700

master plan, which was provided

343

00:18:16.700 --> 00:18:20.200

at deadline three. So rep three zero 22,

344

00:18:19.200 --> 00:18:22.700

there is no proposal for

345

00:18:22.700 --> 00:18:26.200

arable Flora within

346

00:18:25.200 --> 00:18:28.700

the scheme apart from two

347

00:18:28.700 --> 00:18:31.400

very small three, very small areas.

348

00:18:32.400 --> 00:18:36.000

So that means for the majority of land which

349

00:18:35.200 --> 00:18:38.400

is already identified as District or County

350

00:18:38.400 --> 00:18:41.600

importance for arable field margins is going

351

00:18:41.600 --> 00:18:45.500

to be a restored or

352

00:18:45.500 --> 00:18:48.100

created as part and solar farm and it'll be

353

00:18:48.100 --> 00:18:51.200

seeded with Native grasslands, which is

354

00:18:51.200 --> 00:18:54.800

set out in the Lemp in Eco

355

00:18:54.800 --> 00:18:57.700

one that area was good for

356

00:18:57.700 --> 00:19:00.400

arrival Flora, but now that will be managed

357

00:19:00.400 --> 00:19:03.800

for stone curly and that is not compatible with management

358

00:19:03.800 --> 00:19:07.400

for arable Flora in w o

359

00:19:06.400 --> 00:19:09.400

nine which is Seneca West.

360

00:19:09.400 --> 00:19:12.700

There's no this is the only area in Cambridge

361

00:19:12.700 --> 00:19:15.800

here identified for arable floral compensation

362

00:19:15.800 --> 00:19:19.600

area and it's within the archaeological exclusion

363

00:19:18.600 --> 00:19:21.400

area. And we're there in

364

00:19:21.400 --> 00:19:24.800

areas identified as winter cover

365

00:19:24.800 --> 00:19:27.400

crop for birds and I would suggest

366

00:19:27.400 --> 00:19:31.300

that those managing for those two aspects is

367

00:19:31.300 --> 00:19:32.000

completely at

368

00:19:32.300 --> 00:19:35.600

We thought you would do for managing for arable Flora for

369

00:19:35.600 --> 00:19:39.400

archaeological reasons. The archaeologists

370

00:19:38.400 --> 00:19:41.900

will be able to come in at their point on

371

00:19:41.900 --> 00:19:44.300

this but you wouldn't really want the land

372

00:19:44.300 --> 00:19:48.400

turned over which you would need to for arable Flora

373

00:19:47.400 --> 00:19:51.500

to create the bear soils for

374

00:19:51.500 --> 00:19:55.700

them. And in the Lemp they

375

00:19:55.700 --> 00:19:58.100  
say that for areas of

376

00:19:58.100 --> 00:20:01.500  
arable Flora. It should not be sown with any seeds.

377

00:20:01.500 --> 00:20:05.600  
Well, this area is suggestive we'll be sown for wild bird

378

00:20:04.600 --> 00:20:07.100  
cover crops. So it's just

379

00:20:07.100 --> 00:20:10.300  
an example that it's not been thought through

380

00:20:10.300 --> 00:20:13.900  
of this process and that means that within certainly within

381

00:20:13.900 --> 00:20:16.300  
Cambridge year. There's no areas which have

382

00:20:16.300 --> 00:20:19.300  
been identified in the foreign mental master plan,

383

00:20:19.300 --> 00:20:22.600  
which will be dedicated for arable Flora when it's

384

00:20:22.600 --> 00:20:25.400  
particularly disappointing because it

385

00:20:25.400 --> 00:20:28.900  
Sonica West a is identified

386

00:20:28.900 --> 00:20:31.700  
as a as part of the breckland.

387

00:20:32.300 --> 00:20:35.900  
Priority habitat within East cambridges nature Network

388

00:20:35.900 --> 00:20:38.200

interim report, which is

389

00:20:38.200 --> 00:20:41.600

just been published and within that one of the priorities is

390

00:20:41.600 --> 00:20:45.200

for arable flora and arable invertebrates. And

391

00:20:44.200 --> 00:20:47.100

because this site fits within

392

00:20:47.100 --> 00:20:50.600

that priority area, it would be beneficial to

393

00:20:50.600 --> 00:20:53.300

try and retain the fields which are

394

00:20:53.300 --> 00:20:57.900

of counting importance. So w09 and

395

00:20:56.900 --> 00:20:59.800

all districts

396

00:20:59.800 --> 00:21:02.500

importance like wo 6 so that

397

00:21:02.500 --> 00:21:07.000

we've got that continuity of that and that seed

398

00:21:06.100 --> 00:21:09.700

bed within the soil that main problem we find

399

00:21:09.700 --> 00:21:13.000

is that although the Lemp the suggestion

400

00:21:12.100 --> 00:21:15.800

of creating small areas of our margins

401

00:21:15.800 --> 00:21:19.100

all doing little bits around the side of a

402

00:21:18.100 --> 00:21:21.200  
solar panels. We can't it doesn't

403

00:21:21.200 --> 00:21:24.400  
make sense in terms of having tractors coming

404

00:21:24.400 --> 00:21:27.500  
in turning over the land which could potentially flick up

405

00:21:27.500 --> 00:21:30.300  
stones or whatever else and potentially impact on the

406

00:21:30.300 --> 00:21:31.700  
infrastructure that's being put in

407

00:21:32.300 --> 00:21:35.000  
So in our mind unless a lot

408

00:21:35.200 --> 00:21:39.000  
more detail is coming forwards or solar panels

409

00:21:38.300 --> 00:21:41.900  
are taken out to some of these important Fields like

410

00:21:41.900 --> 00:21:45.300  
w-09 with of

411

00:21:45.300 --> 00:21:48.700  
the mind that we really need to look off site for compensation for

412

00:21:48.700 --> 00:21:51.700  
this habitat and doing that

413

00:21:51.700 --> 00:21:54.400  
through a delivery of

414

00:21:54.400 --> 00:21:58.300  
suitable habitat within like a network of agricultural settings.

415

00:21:57.300 --> 00:22:00.900

So within the wider landscape

416

00:22:00.900 --> 00:22:04.300

and working with Farmers elsewhere to provide

417

00:22:03.300 --> 00:22:06.000

the adequate conversation because we don't

418

00:22:06.100 --> 00:22:09.300

think it can be provided on a solar farm site like this.

419

00:22:14.700 --> 00:22:17.100

Environmental master plan in our

420

00:22:17.100 --> 00:22:22.500

document light reference libraries wrecked three's-022.

421

00:22:23.500 --> 00:22:25.500

History information. Thank you very much. And

422

00:22:26.600 --> 00:22:27.800

Mr. Gazelle Co

423

00:22:28.400 --> 00:22:30.500

Thank you. Madam, Mr. Woodfield like to commitment.

424

00:22:32.400 --> 00:22:35.200

Yes, thank you Mom, Mr. Whitfield first say no to Seneca

425

00:22:35.200 --> 00:22:36.700

and it was real and

426

00:22:37.400 --> 00:22:41.000

on this issue. I think that there is a kind of a bit of a burning  
incongruity between

427

00:22:42.200 --> 00:22:45.700

what we heard earlier on today about deficiencies in

428

00:22:45.700 --> 00:22:48.600

the Baseline information and how the applicant is



429

00:22:48.600 --> 00:22:51.300

moving to try and address those with further survey work

430

00:22:51.300 --> 00:22:53.500

which will be submitted at some point.

431

00:22:54.400 --> 00:22:58.100

And the basic drivers for how you define an

432

00:22:57.100 --> 00:23:00.500

adequate level of compensation. You have the applicants on

433

00:23:00.500 --> 00:23:03.300

one hand saying there is adequate compensation for

434

00:23:03.300 --> 00:23:06.500

the loss of arable Flora on the other hand. It's saying we don't

435

00:23:06.500 --> 00:23:09.200

know what which Fields yet have got

436

00:23:09.200 --> 00:23:13.000

significant arable floral resources and just just to

437

00:23:12.100 --> 00:23:16.600

give you some statistics. We're talking about an order

438

00:23:16.600 --> 00:23:19.300

limits area in excessive a thousand hectare. I believe

439

00:23:19.300 --> 00:23:23.000

and the sun total of compensation proposed for

440

00:23:22.600 --> 00:23:26.200

arable Flora habitats amounts to

441

00:23:26.200 --> 00:23:29.700

12 three meter by 20 meter strips,

442

00:23:29.700 --> 00:23:32.600  
and that's extraordinating and

443

00:23:32.600 --> 00:23:35.600  
you heard earlier on from Professor Wayne about how our

444

00:23:35.600 --> 00:23:38.400  
Flora can pop up in some years of doing others

445

00:23:38.400 --> 00:23:41.800  
it effectively Works in a kind of metal population structure.

446

00:23:41.800 --> 00:23:44.100  
It needs large areas to be able to pop up

447

00:23:44.100 --> 00:23:47.800  
in some places not others in order to survive into

448

00:23:47.800 --> 00:23:50.600  
the long term and on any analysis that level

449

00:23:50.600 --> 00:23:53.000  
of compensatory provision is going to

450

00:23:53.200 --> 00:23:54.200  
be woefully in

451

00:23:54.400 --> 00:23:57.600  
when when you look at the amount of resource that

452

00:23:57.600 --> 00:23:58.900  
there is available and

453

00:23:59.900 --> 00:24:03.500  
over this order limits area and notwithstanding

454

00:24:02.500 --> 00:24:05.600  
the fact that we still don't know and still

455

00:24:05.600 --> 00:24:08.600  
hasn't been provided by the applicant exactly how

456

00:24:08.600 --> 00:24:11.700

many arable Fields have Flora elevated above

457

00:24:11.700 --> 00:24:12.400

the local level?

458

00:24:14.300 --> 00:24:17.500

Thank you very much. So Mr. Tony, could you please respond to

459

00:24:17.500 --> 00:24:20.300

Mr. Grant's all points and

460

00:24:20.300 --> 00:24:22.400

to the other points raised?

461

00:24:23.600 --> 00:24:26.400

Thank you, Richard Tony for the applicant. I'll hand over to Professor Wade in

462

00:24:26.400 --> 00:24:28.100

a moment. Just a couple of preliminary points.

463

00:24:28.600 --> 00:24:31.700

On what has been said first of

464

00:24:31.700 --> 00:24:34.500

all on the lamp, obviously the

465

00:24:34.500 --> 00:24:36.500

detailed specification.

466

00:24:37.700 --> 00:24:40.600

Of the environmental mitigation measures is for

467

00:24:40.600 --> 00:24:42.500

the detailed length post-concept.

468

00:24:43.300 --> 00:24:47.900

And that's a matter which obviously the local authorities

469

00:24:47.900 --> 00:24:50.500  
will have an input into

470

00:24:50.500 --> 00:24:53.300  
so it's right say that

471

00:24:53.300 --> 00:24:56.600  
we haven't at the moment fully specified every

472

00:24:56.600 --> 00:24:59.200  
element of the ecological mitigation in

473

00:24:59.200 --> 00:25:02.500  
final form and it would be completely inappropriate to

474

00:25:02.500 --> 00:25:06.100  
do so and unheard often in this type

475

00:25:05.100 --> 00:25:06.800  
of forum.

476

00:25:07.700 --> 00:25:10.000  
So yes, there is a third stage of analysis.

477

00:25:10.800 --> 00:25:13.900  
It in respect to the I was the other primary appointments

478

00:25:13.900 --> 00:25:16.200  
deal with was because we touched an earlier. I saw some

479

00:25:16.200 --> 00:25:20.800  
clarification of the break the Baseline survey

480

00:25:19.800 --> 00:25:22.100  
work that's being carried out.

481

00:25:22.100 --> 00:25:26.600  
The further survey work is going to be produced a deadline five  
alongside

482

00:25:25.600 --> 00:25:28.900  
the matters that Mr. Grant referred

483  
00:25:28.900 --> 00:25:30.000  
to in his submission.

484  
00:25:30.700 --> 00:25:34.600  
Which are including an updated netgain

485  
00:25:33.600 --> 00:25:38.000  
calculation and that comparison?

486  
00:25:40.100 --> 00:25:43.300  
The the sort of hole in the surveys that

487  
00:25:43.300 --> 00:25:44.400  
Mr. Woodfield came back to

488  
00:25:45.300 --> 00:25:49.400  
I think again it's worth clarifying the unsurveyed land.

489  
00:25:49.400 --> 00:25:52.200  
The land between didn't access is all in the

490  
00:25:52.200 --> 00:25:52.900  
cable corridor.

491  
00:25:53.400 --> 00:25:56.300  
So that's what we're concerned with when people are saying there's

492  
00:25:56.300 --> 00:25:59.700  
unsurveyed land in the in the

493  
00:25:59.700 --> 00:26:00.300  
order limits.

494  
00:26:00.900 --> 00:26:03.100  
Right, but it's unsurveyed in

495  
00:26:03.100 --> 00:26:04.100  
the cable corridor.

496

00:26:04.800 --> 00:26:07.700

And that's an important point because as you know, the nature

497

00:26:07.700 --> 00:26:10.300

of the use the cable Corridor is holding different from

498

00:26:10.300 --> 00:26:13.400

the use of the main sites of

499

00:26:13.400 --> 00:26:17.200

the sense that the lab will be restored to its current use following the

500

00:26:17.200 --> 00:26:18.700

completion of the construction works.

501

00:26:19.400 --> 00:26:22.900

So I'll ask Professor

502

00:26:22.900 --> 00:26:26.500

way to come in on the question of the adequacy of

503

00:26:25.500 --> 00:26:28.700

what's proposed in terms of compensation to

504

00:26:28.700 --> 00:26:31.600

high level, but I think it's important to sit in that context.

505

00:26:34.100 --> 00:26:36.800

Thank you Maxway for the for the applicant.

506

00:26:38.500 --> 00:26:42.100

I think picking picking up almost just been said and

507

00:26:41.100 --> 00:26:44.200

recognizing the need to

508

00:26:44.200 --> 00:26:46.800

work towards detail and

509

00:26:48.600 --> 00:26:51.700

Covering arable floor as well as other aspects

510

00:26:51.700 --> 00:26:54.800

and there was a workshop last

511

00:26:54.800 --> 00:26:55.000

week.

512

00:26:56.500 --> 00:26:59.900

With the local local authorities in terms

513

00:26:59.900 --> 00:27:02.500

of ecology and landscape and where

514

00:27:02.500 --> 00:27:05.500

a number of of these points were discussed. It

515

00:27:05.500 --> 00:27:09.300

was it was a positive constructive day and

516

00:27:09.300 --> 00:27:12.200

was the whole pretty much the whole of

517

00:27:12.200 --> 00:27:15.700

the day and and we have taken away from that a

518

00:27:15.700 --> 00:27:20.100

number of aspects which I think will help to overcome some

519

00:27:19.100 --> 00:27:23.000

of the concerns. I think

520

00:27:22.100 --> 00:27:25.400

we do need to remind ourselves going

521

00:27:25.400 --> 00:27:29.300

again Going Back to Basics and that our arable

522

00:27:28.300 --> 00:27:31.900

flora and is a restricted

523

00:27:31.900 --> 00:27:35.400  
to the the margins of fields

524

00:27:34.400 --> 00:27:38.400  
and be it relies

525

00:27:37.400 --> 00:27:41.400  
on regular disturbance which

526

00:27:40.400 --> 00:27:43.400  
are too early on

527

00:27:43.400 --> 00:27:46.200  
so and in addition

528

00:27:46.200 --> 00:27:49.700  
to the areas that are specifically provided

529

00:27:49.700 --> 00:27:52.400  
for arable Flora there is

530

00:27:52.400 --> 00:27:55.500  
scope within the margins of

531

00:27:55.500 --> 00:27:56.000  
the fields.

532

00:27:56.500 --> 00:27:59.900  
Into the plots to create disturbance and

533

00:27:59.900 --> 00:28:02.700  
mimic disturbance in order

534

00:28:02.700 --> 00:28:06.000  
to sustainable Flora. I will

535

00:28:05.400 --> 00:28:06.600  
Flora are



536

00:28:08.500 --> 00:28:11.700

Amainable to be to be moved around in terms

537

00:28:11.700 --> 00:28:14.800

of collecting seed and and translocating them

538

00:28:14.800 --> 00:28:19.400

so that they pattern of presence

539

00:28:17.400 --> 00:28:20.900

of arable Flora

540

00:28:20.900 --> 00:28:23.700

within the scheme would be

541

00:28:23.700 --> 00:28:27.500

more coherent and also would would help Management

542

00:28:26.500 --> 00:28:30.100

in order to sustain the stain

543

00:28:29.100 --> 00:28:33.100

the sustain the floor and there

544

00:28:32.100 --> 00:28:36.200

was a reference to the

545

00:28:35.200 --> 00:28:40.000

nature recovery Network that East

546

00:28:38.400 --> 00:28:42.100

Cambridge have produced and

547

00:28:41.100 --> 00:28:44.500

that's really valuable to us. We welcome that

548

00:28:44.500 --> 00:28:47.900

and we can ensure that and

549

00:28:47.900 --> 00:28:51.100

not just arable Flora but obviously across

550

00:28:50.100 --> 00:28:53.200  
peace and that we're able to

551

00:28:53.200 --> 00:28:56.600  
build the detail in to support the nature

552

00:28:56.600 --> 00:28:59.400  
recovery Network to integrate within it.

553

00:29:01.300 --> 00:29:05.100  
I think that in terms

554

00:29:05.100 --> 00:29:09.500  
of the stone curly plots and they would

555

00:29:08.500 --> 00:29:12.000  
have an escape for our

556

00:29:11.600 --> 00:29:15.700  
Flora. It's a disturbed environment and

557

00:29:14.700 --> 00:29:18.000  
there are

558

00:29:17.200 --> 00:29:20.300  
certainly instances where

559

00:29:20.300 --> 00:29:23.500  
some of the species associated with arable floral

560

00:29:23.500 --> 00:29:26.500  
would use that those areas and again could

561

00:29:26.500 --> 00:29:30.500  
be sustained due to the ongoing disturbance

562

00:29:29.500 --> 00:29:32.600  
in order to create the

563

00:29:32.600 --> 00:29:35.400  
open ground. And I think also

564

00:29:35.400 --> 00:29:36.500  
there is

565

00:29:38.100 --> 00:29:41.900  
Compatibility between that and stone curly

566

00:29:41.900 --> 00:29:44.200  
and the archaeological sites that I think

567

00:29:44.200 --> 00:29:47.700  
is something we're going to pick up in response to the questions  
earlier

568

00:29:47.700 --> 00:29:48.100  
on.

569

00:29:50.700 --> 00:29:54.300  
Just as a point of detail in

570

00:29:53.300 --> 00:29:57.600  
relation to the the surveys

571

00:29:56.600 --> 00:29:59.400  
and it was suggested that

572

00:29:59.400 --> 00:30:02.400  
these were gaps in terms of where we and only

573

00:30:02.400 --> 00:30:05.900  
surveys and it's very much updating and

574

00:30:05.900 --> 00:30:09.300  
we started this in 2019. They

575

00:30:08.300 --> 00:30:11.100  
need to have there has been a need

576

00:30:11.100 --> 00:30:14.200  
for updates and it is mainly the

577

00:30:14.200 --> 00:30:17.500  
updates that we'll providing rather than sort of

578

00:30:17.500 --> 00:30:18.400  
gaps that we have.

579

00:30:20.100 --> 00:30:23.300  
Thank you. Thank you. Can I just

580

00:30:23.300 --> 00:30:26.800  
check please in relation to the arable floor

581

00:30:26.800 --> 00:30:29.900  
of plots? They're shown on the environmental Master planners

582

00:30:29.900 --> 00:30:31.800  
a series of orange dots.

583

00:30:32.300 --> 00:30:37.000  
And you were just talking about feel margins.

584

00:30:37.700 --> 00:30:37.900  
so

585

00:30:39.400 --> 00:30:42.300  
would the arable Flora in the field

586

00:30:42.300 --> 00:30:46.300  
margin has just be something that happens or doesn't or is

587

00:30:45.300 --> 00:30:49.300  
the applicant actually proposing to effectively

588

00:30:48.300 --> 00:30:51.200  
expand the orange dots.

589

00:30:51.900 --> 00:30:54.900  
And build that into the environmental master plan.

590

00:30:56.400 --> 00:30:59.200

You know coherent way. So yes, you could you could think

591

00:30:59.200 --> 00:31:02.300

about it that way certainly it's an active process.

592

00:31:02.300 --> 00:31:05.400

We weren't going just to see what happens to the margins

593

00:31:05.400 --> 00:31:08.900

of the fields. It would need to be a an active

594

00:31:08.900 --> 00:31:11.900

process and in some circumstances.

595

00:31:11.900 --> 00:31:15.300

We would need to introduce seed

596

00:31:14.300 --> 00:31:17.800

to enable the Flora

597

00:31:17.800 --> 00:31:20.200

to establish. Yes, that will

598

00:31:20.200 --> 00:31:23.300

be in addition to and the scope

599

00:31:23.300 --> 00:31:26.200

is relatively significant, which was

600

00:31:26.200 --> 00:31:29.300

one of the things that we talked about at the workshop and

601

00:31:29.300 --> 00:31:31.800

how we might deliver that.

602

00:31:32.600 --> 00:31:35.100

Right, so that is something you will take away and look at

603

00:31:35.100 --> 00:31:36.700  
further. Thank you.

604  
00:31:38.900 --> 00:31:39.900  
Mr. Gazalco

605  
00:31:42.400 --> 00:31:42.700  
Sorry, Mom. Yes.

606  
00:31:44.300 --> 00:31:47.600  
First time I mean quite a long time I'm going to take issue with something that this attorney

607  
00:31:47.600 --> 00:31:47.900  
said.

608  
00:31:51.300 --> 00:31:54.100  
The the survey coverage. I mean,

609  
00:31:54.100 --> 00:31:57.300  
I think I think the reference you need mum is appendix c

610  
00:31:57.300 --> 00:32:00.600  
h c of the environmental statement which refers

611  
00:32:00.600 --> 00:32:05.400  
to the phase two survey is done available habitats. That

612  
00:32:04.400 --> 00:32:07.100  
was a process arrived at as we

613  
00:32:07.100 --> 00:32:11.500  
discussed earlier through a kind of screening exercise. Whereby the

614  
00:32:10.500 --> 00:32:13.200  
phase one survey coverage May.

615  
00:32:14.300 --> 00:32:17.300  
Have covered the entirety of the order limits, but it now seems

616  
00:32:17.300 --> 00:32:20.900

to be Beyond contention that it missed some significant habitat resources

617

00:32:20.900 --> 00:32:23.200  
within that even if the ground

618

00:32:23.200 --> 00:32:26.100  
was covered. So when I refer to gaps in

619

00:32:26.100 --> 00:32:29.300  
the survey coverage, I'm referring to the fact that there

620

00:32:29.300 --> 00:32:32.700  
was a focused effort for phase two surveys

621

00:32:32.700 --> 00:32:35.600  
in some Fields, but not others within the

622

00:32:35.600 --> 00:32:39.400  
main order limits area and and that

623

00:32:38.400 --> 00:32:42.300  
those emissions in large

624

00:32:41.300 --> 00:32:44.600  
Parts. Some of them have been accepted by the

625

00:32:44.600 --> 00:32:47.500  
applicant in response to our written representations and

626

00:32:47.500 --> 00:32:50.300  
the report contained in and they've accepted the need

627

00:32:50.300 --> 00:32:53.600  
to go and do additional survey work. So when it's characterized

628

00:32:53.600 --> 00:32:56.400  
as Gap fielding and we don't the survey coverage

629

00:32:56.400 --> 00:32:59.800  
is complete, but we just need to update it. I think that's slightly

630

00:32:59.800 --> 00:33:02.500

missing the key Point here, which is that there

631

00:33:02.500 --> 00:33:05.100

are important ecological resources that have been missed.

632

00:33:05.300 --> 00:33:08.400

And until there's a characterized until that information is before

633

00:33:08.400 --> 00:33:11.600

you it is very difficult to define the

634

00:33:11.600 --> 00:33:14.900

Quantum of compensation that's required one follows

635

00:33:14.900 --> 00:33:17.900

the other and that's what I wanted

636

00:33:17.900 --> 00:33:18.800

to say on that. Thank you.

637

00:33:19.300 --> 00:33:22.300

I take that point. Thank you. I don't think we're going to

638

00:33:22.300 --> 00:33:25.800

get much further in relation to survey work today, but

639

00:33:25.800 --> 00:33:28.900

something for the applicant to take

640

00:33:28.900 --> 00:33:30.500

away consider, please.

641

00:33:32.800 --> 00:33:35.400

Are there any other comments in relation to

642

00:33:35.400 --> 00:33:38.100

arable Flora compensation?

643



00:33:40.800 --> 00:33:41.300  
No.

644  
00:33:42.300 --> 00:33:47.200  
In that case that concludes item C

645  
00:33:45.200 --> 00:33:48.400  
on the

646  
00:33:48.400 --> 00:33:51.800  
agenda now item D relates to

647  
00:33:51.800 --> 00:33:53.700  
impact on tripping and fairness.

648  
00:34:01.700 --> 00:34:05.600  
Apologies if you wanted to say something on birds and

649  
00:34:04.600 --> 00:34:07.700  
in terms of impact. Oh, yes

650  
00:34:07.700 --> 00:34:10.400  
get birds in general other than

651  
00:34:10.400 --> 00:34:13.700  
Stone Cold. Yes. Sorry, please go ahead. Am I

652  
00:34:13.700 --> 00:34:14.300  
okay to say something.

653  
00:34:15.400 --> 00:34:18.200  
Yes, and this goes

654  
00:34:18.200 --> 00:34:21.400  
back to a point I raised earlier, which is that we

655  
00:34:21.400 --> 00:34:25.200  
still maintain that the impact on declining farm

656  
00:34:24.200 --> 00:34:27.600  
and birds speeches by which I mean

657

00:34:27.600 --> 00:34:31.000

a particular Suite of species that have statutory obligations attached

658

00:34:30.100 --> 00:34:33.500

to them. So things like Skylark, especially

659

00:34:33.500 --> 00:34:37.700

haven't been adequately assessed in

660

00:34:37.700 --> 00:34:41.600

the applicant's assessments and we provided information

661

00:34:40.600 --> 00:34:43.500

to the examination in our

662

00:34:43.500 --> 00:34:46.100

written representations, which demonstrates or

663

00:34:46.100 --> 00:34:49.600

is it is evidence that these birds tend

664

00:34:49.600 --> 00:34:52.000

to be on certain certain species of these birds tend to be

665

00:34:52.500 --> 00:34:55.200

displayed from solar farm sites certainly in a

666

00:34:55.200 --> 00:34:58.200

breeding capacity and just in terms

667

00:34:58.200 --> 00:35:01.500

of the adequacy of the survey Baseline that would that

668

00:35:01.500 --> 00:35:04.300

would inform that assessment of impacts. You've heard

669

00:35:04.300 --> 00:35:07.500

me say earlier on that. I was I had some eyebrow

670

00:35:07.500 --> 00:35:10.600

raising figures for the numbers of some of the bird species,

671

00:35:10.600 --> 00:35:13.800

but that goes beyond that in terms of the interpretation of

672

00:35:13.800 --> 00:35:14.500

the results because

673

00:35:15.300 --> 00:35:18.100

Seen a statement in the in the appendix to the es

674

00:35:18.100 --> 00:35:21.600

that deals with the breeding bird serving work that suggests that

675

00:35:21.600 --> 00:35:24.500

noberg population is presented at

676

00:35:24.500 --> 00:35:27.400

above one percent of the County population level

677

00:35:27.400 --> 00:35:30.300

and they seem to be effectively screened out on that basis.

678

00:35:30.300 --> 00:35:34.300

Well by reference to the most recent Cambridgeshire and

679

00:35:34.300 --> 00:35:37.600

Suffolk bird reports that figure seems to actually be incorrect. So

680

00:35:37.600 --> 00:35:40.800

for example, certain species such as Skylark and

681

00:35:40.800 --> 00:35:43.100

yellow wagtail, for example seem to be present

682

00:35:43.100 --> 00:35:46.800

above 1% of the County population level. So I

683

00:35:46.800 --> 00:35:49.700

think that Master needs to be looked at again, but more

684

00:35:49.700 --> 00:35:52.600

particularly, I think that the potential impact

685

00:35:52.600 --> 00:35:55.700

of displacement of a whole Suite of species which

686

00:35:55.700 --> 00:35:58.900

are insignificant decline. He's looked

687

00:35:58.900 --> 00:36:01.300

at it's not not enough to just

688

00:36:01.300 --> 00:36:05.100

say, oh well, they'll find somewhere else in the wider Countryside and it's

689

00:36:04.100 --> 00:36:07.900

a matter that goes to the point about Community impacts and

690

00:36:07.900 --> 00:36:10.900

in combination effects, but as

691

00:36:10.900 --> 00:36:13.100

is as he said in

692

00:36:13.100 --> 00:36:15.100

the article that we provided,

693

00:36:15.300 --> 00:36:16.000

It was evidence.

694

00:36:17.700 --> 00:36:20.400

This is a matter that is so often overlooked when

695

00:36:20.400 --> 00:36:23.800

it comes to assessing the impacts of solar Farms because species social

696

00:36:23.800 --> 00:36:26.500

Skylark simply will be displaced. They may continue

697

00:36:26.500 --> 00:36:29.200

to use it in some capacity but it is not enough to

698

00:36:29.200 --> 00:36:32.400

just say okay, that's fine. They'll find

699

00:36:32.400 --> 00:36:33.900

somewhere else in the wider Countryside.

700

00:36:34.900 --> 00:36:38.100

Thank you. And Mr. Burn on Dr.

701

00:36:37.100 --> 00:36:40.200

Bert online. I understand you would like

702

00:36:40.200 --> 00:36:43.300

to comment. Thank you very much. Yes, I really like

703

00:36:43.300 --> 00:36:46.500

to reinforce that point and just to add that the same

704

00:36:46.500 --> 00:36:49.500

survey work and shows quite large

705

00:36:49.500 --> 00:36:52.500

population of yellow egg tales in the

706

00:36:52.500 --> 00:36:55.700

area and within the media Zone

707

00:36:55.700 --> 00:36:58.300

around Iceland a decent population of corn

708

00:36:58.300 --> 00:37:01.000

buntings as well. And I think the point is that none of

709

00:37:01.200 --> 00:37:04.700

the mitigation habitat will provide the equivalent types

710  
00:37:04.700 --> 00:37:07.300  
of nesting requirements for those species, which have

711  
00:37:07.300 --> 00:37:10.200  
quite contrasting and quite different requirements to say

712  
00:37:10.200 --> 00:37:14.300  
Stone. Could you and to each other? It's the rotational arable

713  
00:37:13.300 --> 00:37:16.900  
system that's provided what those species need

714  
00:37:16.900 --> 00:37:20.300  
and the point I really wanted to make Shannon is

715  
00:37:20.300 --> 00:37:23.200  
that these species are of value to a local

716  
00:37:23.200 --> 00:37:26.400  
people and it's the fact that we can see these species on

717  
00:37:26.400 --> 00:37:29.400  
our doorstep relatively easily and that makes

718  
00:37:29.400 --> 00:37:31.700  
this location so attractive. Thank you.

719  
00:37:33.700 --> 00:37:34.300  
Thank you very much.

720  
00:37:38.900 --> 00:37:41.300  
Think which I think I'll just deal

721  
00:37:41.300 --> 00:37:44.500  
with this very briefly in respective precise calculations

722  
00:37:44.500 --> 00:37:47.500  
and figures will will pick that up outside the examination rather

723  
00:37:47.500 --> 00:37:50.200

than answering it on the hoof. There could be

724

00:37:50.200 --> 00:37:55.900

a little bit more detail. And yes on how

725

00:37:55.900 --> 00:37:58.200

soon yeah, well we can do that we can do that in our

726

00:37:58.200 --> 00:38:01.400

written summary. I think Mr. Woodfield a specific point about a percentage.

727

00:38:01.900 --> 00:38:04.100

But unless the way

728

00:38:04.100 --> 00:38:07.300

it's got it is fingertips. No, we need

729

00:38:07.300 --> 00:38:10.200

to go back and have a look at the the county bird

730

00:38:10.200 --> 00:38:13.200

reports the annual bird reports and and just double

731

00:38:13.200 --> 00:38:16.800

double check on that. And again, I

732

00:38:16.800 --> 00:38:19.500

I think it's important to add we certainly

733

00:38:19.500 --> 00:38:23.200

on the basis of those of those those assessments.

734

00:38:22.200 --> 00:38:25.600

We didn't then screen out those species

735

00:38:25.600 --> 00:38:28.600

and they're very much part of the mitigation that

736

00:38:28.600 --> 00:38:32.500

we're providing with and as Mr.

737

00:38:32.500 --> 00:38:35.500

Tony says, I think we need to come back and provide that detail on

738

00:38:35.500 --> 00:38:35.500

that.

739

00:38:36.400 --> 00:38:39.100

I think Richard saves the applicant. I'm just just

740

00:38:39.100 --> 00:38:42.200

to follow up. There's a broader point there, which I think we've touched on in

741

00:38:42.200 --> 00:38:45.600

the arable flora and

742

00:38:45.600 --> 00:38:48.600

in the comments, which is heard from Roxbury. We need

743

00:38:48.600 --> 00:38:50.500

to be clear that we are proposing.

744

00:38:51.400 --> 00:38:55.100

A substantial loss of land that

745

00:38:54.100 --> 00:38:56.800

is in arable crop rotation.

746

00:38:57.900 --> 00:38:59.100

That's what this scheme is.

747

00:39:00.100 --> 00:39:02.600

It is also a scheme which in doing so.

748

00:39:03.500 --> 00:39:04.500

We stable deliver.

749

00:39:05.500 --> 00:39:08.000

substantial biodiversity net gain



750

00:39:09.200 --> 00:39:12.000  
so clearly there is a habitat change.

751

00:39:12.800 --> 00:39:15.500  
but it's a habitat change from what is predominantly

752

00:39:16.400 --> 00:39:19.300  
very poor species mix

753

00:39:20.300 --> 00:39:22.900  
very poor species diversity one, which will be better.

754

00:39:24.100 --> 00:39:28.000  
And to merely observe change in particular species

755

00:39:27.900 --> 00:39:30.200  
is probably not this. Well,

756

00:39:30.200 --> 00:39:34.200  
it risks Madam distracting from the real thrust of the econometric  
case

757

00:39:34.200 --> 00:39:37.500  
here, which is net gain not net loss,

758

00:39:37.500 --> 00:39:40.800  
but the word net is important. There is a substantial change.

759

00:39:42.500 --> 00:39:45.200  
Being proposed and we don't try and shy away from that.

760

00:39:45.200 --> 00:39:48.400  
I think there's an inclination to try and shy

761

00:39:48.400 --> 00:39:51.800  
away from that by saying well arable crop rotations help

762

00:39:51.800 --> 00:39:54.400  
support this particular species. That might

763

00:39:54.400 --> 00:39:54.800  
be right.

764  
00:39:55.500 --> 00:39:58.600  
Arable crop rotation. It has not been good for

765  
00:39:58.600 --> 00:40:00.300  
nature in East Anglia.

766  
00:40:00.900 --> 00:40:04.200  
It's fair to say has not been good for naturally. So

767  
00:40:03.200 --> 00:40:06.200  
I just want to have about overarching point of

768  
00:40:06.200 --> 00:40:07.100  
mind because I'm not sure.

769  
00:40:08.300 --> 00:40:10.400  
That net gain itself is a matter. This is on the agenda.

770  
00:40:11.800 --> 00:40:14.800  
Thank you very much. So any further

771  
00:40:14.800 --> 00:40:19.100  
comments on mitigation matter

772  
00:40:18.100 --> 00:40:19.700  
and I make

773  
00:40:20.700 --> 00:40:23.400  
a grant for West Suffolk. Can I make one point before you

774  
00:40:23.400 --> 00:40:26.900  
leave once to see which is

775  
00:40:26.900 --> 00:40:29.600  
in respect of the loss

776  
00:40:29.600 --> 00:40:33.000  
of acid grassland you have seen in the lir.

777

00:40:32.000 --> 00:40:33.200

It's

778

00:40:33.800 --> 00:40:36.900

Set out today, but 96 and 8.97 and

779

00:40:36.900 --> 00:40:39.800

it applies particularly in respect of e13.

780

00:40:41.200 --> 00:40:44.300

And I just wanted to draw attention to

781

00:40:44.300 --> 00:40:47.400

that now because of course when we come later

782

00:40:47.400 --> 00:40:47.600

on.

783

00:40:48.400 --> 00:40:51.700

So the in combination impacts at 5D on

784

00:40:51.700 --> 00:40:52.100

the agenda.

785

00:40:53.300 --> 00:40:54.500

for either 13

786

00:40:58.100 --> 00:41:01.700

The ecological impacts in terms of the loss of grassland but

787

00:41:01.700 --> 00:41:04.300

e13 will feed inevitably into what

788

00:41:04.300 --> 00:41:06.000

we have to say about that at that stage.

789

00:41:10.700 --> 00:41:11.700

Thank you for that.

790

00:41:12.600 --> 00:41:13.000  
Instagram

791  
00:41:15.400 --> 00:41:19.000  
Right any final comments? Oh, yes Wildlife

792  
00:41:18.300 --> 00:41:22.200  
trust. Thank you rooting myself.

793  
00:41:22.200 --> 00:41:26.100  
A lot of trust on the point of mitigation and

794  
00:41:25.100 --> 00:41:28.600  
biodiversity neck pain. I think it's important to note

795  
00:41:28.600 --> 00:41:31.700  
that the default metric providers in

796  
00:41:31.700 --> 00:41:32.400  
that game is not

797  
00:41:33.100 --> 00:41:36.400  
recognize species level impacts and it's

798  
00:41:36.400 --> 00:41:39.500  
important for species level impacts to

799  
00:41:39.500 --> 00:41:43.100  
be treated separately from calculations of

800  
00:41:42.100 --> 00:41:45.300  
overall biodiversity in

801  
00:41:45.300 --> 00:41:49.000  
that game, which is a sort of habitat area and condition

802  
00:41:48.500 --> 00:41:52.000  
based proxy. So for

803  
00:41:51.900 --> 00:41:54.400  
species like Skylark, for

804

00:41:54.400 --> 00:41:58.400  
instance, I would like to to see impacts

805

00:41:57.400 --> 00:42:00.700  
on on nesting Skylark

806

00:42:00.700 --> 00:42:01.800  
within the scheme area.

807

00:42:02.600 --> 00:42:05.800  
being addressed specifically with specific

808

00:42:05.800 --> 00:42:06.600  
measures

809

00:42:08.600 --> 00:42:09.400  
That's all.

810

00:42:12.800 --> 00:42:13.400  
Thank you very much.

811

00:42:14.300 --> 00:42:16.400  
Right, I would like to move on now.

812

00:42:17.200 --> 00:42:20.200  
So I can to D was

813

00:42:20.200 --> 00:42:23.200  
to consider impact on

814

00:42:23.200 --> 00:42:26.900  
Chippenham and snail well pause and clearly

815

00:42:26.900 --> 00:42:29.700  
events of moved on rather. So

816

00:42:29.700 --> 00:42:32.900  
what I suggest is that

817

00:42:32.900 --> 00:42:35.200  
the discussion centers more

818

00:42:35.200 --> 00:42:38.500  
about clarification about of what the applicant is

819

00:42:38.500 --> 00:42:41.600  
proposing and one detail that

820

00:42:41.600 --> 00:42:45.500  
in particular, I would like the applicant to clarify. Please is

821

00:42:47.300 --> 00:42:51.100  
That the applicant is proposing to withdraw the mitigation

822

00:42:50.100 --> 00:42:53.500  
and ecological enhancement land for

823

00:42:53.500 --> 00:42:55.100  
example, the Wetland creation.

824

00:42:55.600 --> 00:42:59.100  
As well as the solar panels from Seneca West

825

00:42:58.100 --> 00:42:59.400  
B.

826

00:43:02.500 --> 00:43:04.500  
Richard turning for the applicant. Yes. That's correct.

827

00:43:06.300 --> 00:43:09.500  
So following on from that then to what extent has

828

00:43:09.500 --> 00:43:13.300  
Sonic are relied on the ecological mitigation enhancement

829

00:43:12.300 --> 00:43:15.700  
land on Seneca Westby

830

00:43:15.700 --> 00:43:16.700  
as providing.

831

00:43:17.900 --> 00:43:20.300

Contribution to the wider mitigation and

832

00:43:20.300 --> 00:43:23.200

enhancement for the rest of Seneca West and

833

00:43:23.200 --> 00:43:26.500

indeed the rest of the the development as

834

00:43:26.500 --> 00:43:29.600

a whole. What would the impact be on overall mitigation

835

00:43:29.600 --> 00:43:30.100

levels?

836

00:43:30.900 --> 00:43:33.000

I'll hand over to Professor Wade if I make that.

837

00:43:34.500 --> 00:43:38.500

and Max Wade for the applicant and

838

00:43:39.900 --> 00:43:42.200

we need to take this into account in terms

839

00:43:42.200 --> 00:43:45.800

of our biodiversity assessment in

840

00:43:45.800 --> 00:43:48.200

relation to Net game

841

00:43:48.200 --> 00:43:51.100

and we're doing that as part of

842

00:43:51.100 --> 00:43:54.900

the update to the biodiversity Net

843

00:43:54.900 --> 00:43:57.600

game and can report

844

00:43:57.600 --> 00:44:01.400  
back and it will obviously have an effect

845  
00:44:00.400 --> 00:44:03.000  
at this

846  
00:44:03.100 --> 00:44:07.100  
point in time. It's a relatively small area and

847  
00:44:06.100 --> 00:44:09.600  
as well, I've

848  
00:44:09.600 --> 00:44:12.800  
just have indicated the the way

849  
00:44:12.800 --> 00:44:15.600  
in which the metric works. It's

850  
00:44:15.600 --> 00:44:18.100  
unlikely to register in terms of

851  
00:44:18.100 --> 00:44:20.800  
the significant effect it is

852  
00:44:22.300 --> 00:44:25.400  
On the other hand, the really the only piece of wet Grassman

853  
00:44:25.400 --> 00:44:28.600  
that there was the opportunity to to create and

854  
00:44:28.600 --> 00:44:29.900  
that one they're not happening.

855  
00:44:30.600 --> 00:44:33.300  
Thank you. And when do you propose to report back on that

856  
00:44:33.300 --> 00:44:33.700  
please?

857  
00:44:37.200 --> 00:44:40.300  
I'm not sure what the date will



858

00:44:40.300 --> 00:44:44.800

either deadline was. The the average saves

859

00:44:44.800 --> 00:44:47.300

the applicant the updated by diversity net

860

00:44:47.300 --> 00:44:50.500

gain assessments at headline 5 did line five. Thank you

861

00:44:50.500 --> 00:44:53.900

might have just wasn't speaking my digital clarify

862

00:44:53.900 --> 00:44:54.000

that.

863

00:44:55.500 --> 00:45:00.200

That I think I think there were two elements to your question. First

864

00:44:58.200 --> 00:45:01.900

of all, what does

865

00:45:01.900 --> 00:45:04.100

it do to Net game but also to be rely on

866

00:45:04.100 --> 00:45:07.600

it to mitigate impact elsewhere and subjects what

867

00:45:07.600 --> 00:45:10.800

Professor Wade says, I think the answer to that is no we

868

00:45:10.800 --> 00:45:13.400

don't it's to do with the impacts in

869

00:45:13.400 --> 00:45:13.900

that particular.

870

00:45:14.100 --> 00:45:16.200

vacation, but perhaps the best way to confirm

871

00:45:18.700 --> 00:45:21.200  
Maxway for the applicant. Yes, I think.

872  
00:45:22.600 --> 00:45:25.400  
Thank you, Mark very much of any other parties like

873  
00:45:25.400 --> 00:45:28.000  
to comment on on this aspect.

874  
00:45:32.000 --> 00:45:32.300  
No.

875  
00:45:33.500 --> 00:45:36.800  
Okay, then moving on then to e

876  
00:45:36.800 --> 00:45:40.100  
impacts on other designated sites adequacy

877  
00:45:39.100 --> 00:45:42.500  
of those mitigation. I don't have any specific

878  
00:45:42.500 --> 00:45:46.600  
questions in relation to other designating site

879  
00:45:45.600 --> 00:45:48.600  
does needed sites and I'm

880  
00:45:48.600 --> 00:45:51.700  
aware that discussions are wrong going between yeah and

881  
00:45:51.700 --> 00:45:54.500  
other parties that does any IP

882  
00:45:54.500 --> 00:45:57.500  
wish to make any specific points now on

883  
00:45:57.500 --> 00:45:59.300  
any other designated sites.

884  
00:46:01.900 --> 00:46:04.900  
Mr. Bedford for

885

00:46:04.900 --> 00:46:06.200

Michael Bedford Suffolk County Council

886

00:46:07.100 --> 00:46:11.300

We just got a small point in relation

887

00:46:10.300 --> 00:46:13.900

to the County wildlife science

888

00:46:13.900 --> 00:46:16.500

battlingham Lane and

889

00:46:16.500 --> 00:46:17.800

Wellington East.

890

00:46:18.800 --> 00:46:22.400

In terms of how they're proposed to be treated in

891

00:46:21.400 --> 00:46:23.500

the center.

892

00:46:24.700 --> 00:46:27.700

And it simply say it's such

893

00:46:27.700 --> 00:46:30.200

a point that can be further discussed with the applicant that we

894

00:46:30.200 --> 00:46:35.300

think that the the measures to offset

895

00:46:33.300 --> 00:46:36.200

any potential effects on

896

00:46:36.200 --> 00:46:39.900

those County wildlife sites need to be site-based.

897

00:46:40.700 --> 00:46:43.600

Rather than as it were construction needs based

898

00:46:43.600 --> 00:46:46.200

by looking at it from the point of view of

899

00:46:46.200 --> 00:46:49.200

ecological asset rather than from the point of

900

00:46:49.200 --> 00:46:53.000

view of the proposed construction methods, but

901

00:46:52.800 --> 00:46:55.500

I say that's a matter of fine-tuning

902

00:46:55.500 --> 00:46:58.500

of how the scent deals with those matters rather than

903

00:46:58.500 --> 00:46:59.400

a point of principle.

904

00:47:01.100 --> 00:47:01.900

Mr. Turn

905

00:47:02.400 --> 00:47:02.900

Ey is yet?

906

00:47:03.800 --> 00:47:06.400

because on board take taking it on board will

907

00:47:06.400 --> 00:47:07.000

look again at the

908

00:47:08.800 --> 00:47:12.100

Any time scale for that I don't need.

909

00:47:16.100 --> 00:47:19.000

Updated send the deadline 5 deadline 5. Thank you very much.

910

00:47:23.100 --> 00:47:26.300

So any further comments on designated sites?

911

00:47:27.500 --> 00:47:30.000

Yes. Yes Suffolk Wildlife trust. Thank you

912

00:47:30.900 --> 00:47:33.300

in relation to another County wildlife site

913

00:47:33.300 --> 00:47:37.600

have a comedos and deal Nook where have

914

00:47:36.600 --> 00:47:39.700

a comedos and feel Nook can't

915

00:47:39.700 --> 00:47:40.400

you add left side?

916

00:47:41.600 --> 00:47:44.500

Where horizontal directional drilling is

917

00:47:44.500 --> 00:47:47.500

proposed to provide the

918

00:47:47.500 --> 00:47:50.900

cable Crossing of I think it's librook.

919

00:47:51.400 --> 00:47:53.600

And through that County wildlife site.

920

00:47:54.800 --> 00:47:57.200

To avoid impacts on the Watercourse and and

921

00:47:57.200 --> 00:48:00.200

the CWS itself. I just wanted to

922

00:48:00.200 --> 00:48:04.100

know that use of

923

00:48:03.100 --> 00:48:06.300

horizontal directional drilling is not guaranteed to

924

00:48:06.300 --> 00:48:09.600

be successful. And if there are any problems employing that

925

00:48:09.600 --> 00:48:10.200  
technique

926  
00:48:12.100 --> 00:48:15.100  
the fallback will be to use open cut trenching methods

927  
00:48:15.100 --> 00:48:18.600  
across that water course in which case the impacts will

928  
00:48:18.600 --> 00:48:22.500  
be far greater and unavoidable and

929  
00:48:21.500 --> 00:48:23.800  
I I believe the

930  
00:48:24.900 --> 00:48:27.400  
Ascent needs to reflect that

931  
00:48:27.400 --> 00:48:31.700  
and ideally talk about

932  
00:48:31.700 --> 00:48:35.400  
what the fallback mitigation and

933  
00:48:35.400 --> 00:48:37.100  
compensation for.

934  
00:48:37.400 --> 00:48:39.200  
those potential impacts would be

935  
00:48:42.700 --> 00:48:45.100  
Thank you. Very rich is only for

936  
00:48:45.100 --> 00:48:48.800  
the applicant will take that specific point away. It's good timing  
with the drilling. But

937  
00:48:48.800 --> 00:48:52.300  
in terms of horizontal directional

938  
00:48:51.300 --> 00:48:54.200

drilling, obviously, it is a proven method,

939

00:48:54.200 --> 00:48:58.100

but I think it's right say that sometimes encounters problems.

940

00:48:57.100 --> 00:49:00.900

We've got a an HDD

941

00:49:00.900 --> 00:49:03.600

drill in this location and that's how we prepares

942

00:49:03.600 --> 00:49:06.500

to deal with it, but will take points away as to whether the scent needs

943

00:49:06.500 --> 00:49:09.300

to deal with the eventuality that it's not successful.

944

00:49:11.900 --> 00:49:14.900

Thank you very much. So moving on

945

00:49:14.900 --> 00:49:17.300

to F and

946

00:49:17.300 --> 00:49:21.400

the adequacy of mitigation measures in general and connectivity on

947

00:49:20.400 --> 00:49:23.500

this has been touched upon in

948

00:49:23.500 --> 00:49:25.600

the discussion so far this morning.

949

00:49:26.800 --> 00:49:30.000

But to the applicant a question

950

00:49:29.300 --> 00:49:32.400

for you, do you consider that in terms

951

00:49:32.400 --> 00:49:35.700

of mitigating impacts on ecology and biodiversity?

952

00:49:36.400 --> 00:49:39.500

You have followed the principle set out in the Lawton review.

953

00:49:39.500 --> 00:49:42.100

I bigger better and more joined up.

954

00:49:48.200 --> 00:49:50.400

Max Wade for the for the applicant and

955

00:49:51.500 --> 00:49:54.400

Yeah, absolutely. Yes, we've had

956

00:49:54.400 --> 00:49:57.300

a vision from the outset in

957

00:49:57.300 --> 00:50:00.900

terms of the the scope and that the

958

00:50:00.900 --> 00:50:04.200

scheme provides and as

959

00:50:03.200 --> 00:50:06.700

as we've heard we're dealing

960

00:50:06.700 --> 00:50:06.800

with

961

00:50:09.400 --> 00:50:12.300

a farmed landscape at a

962

00:50:12.300 --> 00:50:15.300

sort of Industry Industrial Level, which is left a legacy

963

00:50:15.300 --> 00:50:18.100

and from a biodiversity point of view

964

00:50:18.100 --> 00:50:21.700

that is well recognized as as having had significant

965



00:50:21.700 --> 00:50:24.300  
damage and there is

966  
00:50:24.300 --> 00:50:25.100  
an opportunity here to

967  
00:50:27.200 --> 00:50:31.600  
through the mitigation enhancement of the scheme to substantially in

968  
00:50:31.600 --> 00:50:34.800  
Hearts biodiversity and and Wildlife.

969  
00:50:35.500 --> 00:50:38.800  
And I think that that's it that we

970  
00:50:38.800 --> 00:50:42.000  
can see a three different levels and we

971  
00:50:41.200 --> 00:50:44.500  
can see it at the point of view of a lot

972  
00:50:44.500 --> 00:50:45.400  
of what we've been talking about.

973  
00:50:47.700 --> 00:50:50.300  
habitat creation available Flora Badges and

974  
00:50:50.300 --> 00:50:50.600  
so on

975  
00:50:51.200 --> 00:50:54.300  
we can see it from the point of view of the sort of

976  
00:50:54.300 --> 00:50:58.100  
indirect effects, which are substantially hidden

977  
00:50:57.100 --> 00:51:00.500  
from us, but nevertheless are are really

978  
00:51:00.500 --> 00:51:03.300  
very significant and we can also see it

979

00:51:03.300 --> 00:51:08.100

from the point of view of the calculations and

980

00:51:08.100 --> 00:51:11.600

sort of assessment from from a biodiversity

981

00:51:11.600 --> 00:51:12.000

point of view.

982

00:51:12.800 --> 00:51:15.400

And these these benefits are

983

00:51:15.400 --> 00:51:19.000

not something with come

984

00:51:18.200 --> 00:51:22.600

up with just in relation to to Seneca

985

00:51:21.600 --> 00:51:24.500

and these are benefits

986

00:51:24.500 --> 00:51:27.600

to the recognized for and solar solar

987

00:51:27.600 --> 00:51:31.100

schemes and are documented and

988

00:51:32.600 --> 00:51:32.800

the

989

00:51:34.300 --> 00:51:37.800

Benefits and mitigation and Associated

990

00:51:37.800 --> 00:51:40.500

enhancement for a number

991

00:51:40.500 --> 00:51:43.700

of the habitats glass lending particular

992

00:51:43.700 --> 00:51:46.200  
but also hedgerows trees we've already

993  
00:51:46.200 --> 00:51:50.800  
talked about and we haven't really and dwell

994  
00:51:49.800 --> 00:51:53.100  
at all in terms of this will

995  
00:51:52.100 --> 00:51:55.600  
be a landscape about over

996  
00:51:55.600 --> 00:51:58.800  
a thousand hectares, which won't have any pesticide applications

997  
00:51:58.800 --> 00:52:01.400  
associated with it. And it's landscape that

998  
00:52:01.400 --> 00:52:04.500  
won't have fertilization. There won't

999  
00:52:04.500 --> 00:52:08.100  
be annual irrigation taken

1000  
00:52:07.100 --> 00:52:11.200  
from local water courses. These are

1001  
00:52:10.200 --> 00:52:14.200  
major improvements to a

1002  
00:52:13.200 --> 00:52:16.100  
damaged landscape which will be felt. Well

1003  
00:52:16.100 --> 00:52:19.500  
beyond the confines of the

1004  
00:52:19.500 --> 00:52:21.100  
of the scheme.

1005  
00:52:22.100 --> 00:52:25.700  
It's it's a large game and therefore the

1006

00:52:25.700 --> 00:52:28.400

scale of the benefit particularly from a

1007

00:52:28.400 --> 00:52:31.800

hydrological quality point of view and is it

1008

00:52:31.800 --> 00:52:34.600

is probably dramatic and we

1009

00:52:34.600 --> 00:52:35.600

will see that and

1010

00:52:36.900 --> 00:52:39.700

those improvements that those benefits and

1011

00:52:39.700 --> 00:52:40.600

over time.

1012

00:52:41.600 --> 00:52:44.500

and and our biodiversity in gain

1013

00:52:44.500 --> 00:52:47.300

calculations, although we will we have been

1014

00:52:47.300 --> 00:52:48.200

needing to

1015

00:52:50.100 --> 00:52:54.200

Update them taken to account changes and

1016

00:52:53.200 --> 00:52:57.000

we'll demonstrate a significant

1017

00:52:56.900 --> 00:52:59.600

calculated biodiversity Net

1018

00:52:59.600 --> 00:53:02.300

game which I think helps to independ that I accept

1019

00:53:02.300 --> 00:53:05.300  
the the reservations in terms of it doesn't actually

1020  
00:53:05.300 --> 00:53:08.100  
deal with species and it doesn't

1021  
00:53:08.100 --> 00:53:12.600  
deal with water pollution and and irrigation

1022  
00:53:11.600 --> 00:53:14.400  
but it does

1023  
00:53:14.400 --> 00:53:17.400  
provide us with a good indicator, which the

1024  
00:53:17.400 --> 00:53:20.500  
government has has provided us through defra and

1025  
00:53:20.500 --> 00:53:22.200  
it will be substantial.

1026  
00:53:23.400 --> 00:53:23.600  
Thank you.

1027  
00:53:24.600 --> 00:53:27.400  
Thank you for that local authorities. Would you

1028  
00:53:27.400 --> 00:53:28.100  
like to respond?

1029  
00:53:29.400 --> 00:53:33.100  
Mr. Muhammad again for East camps

1030  
00:53:32.100 --> 00:53:35.700  
and County council could I get this armored

1031  
00:53:35.700 --> 00:53:39.100  
just to comment on that and in

1032  
00:53:38.100 --> 00:53:41.700  
particular just the effectiveness both of the effectiveness

1033

00:53:41.700 --> 00:53:44.700

of these measures, but also what happens after

1034

00:53:44.700 --> 00:53:48.400

that 40 year period this Army.

1035

00:53:47.400 --> 00:53:50.100

Yeah, it's difficult to say

1036

00:53:50.100 --> 00:53:53.600

how effective Deborah armad technology officer at

1037

00:53:53.600 --> 00:53:56.600

capacity County Council. It's difficult to say quite how

1038

00:53:56.600 --> 00:53:59.600

effective the mitigation measures will be until we hear

1039

00:53:59.600 --> 00:54:02.500

all the edit more detail at deadline

1040

00:54:02.500 --> 00:54:06.800

five and in terms of General enhancements

1041

00:54:05.800 --> 00:54:08.800

for biodiversity. We we

1042

00:54:08.800 --> 00:54:11.200

still are await in B&G assessment as well,

1043

00:54:11.200 --> 00:54:15.000

which is kind of accurately reflects. So that really mops

1044

00:54:14.200 --> 00:54:18.300

up everything which is outside of statutory conservation

1045

00:54:17.300 --> 00:54:20.600

sites or protected species and

1046

00:54:20.600 --> 00:54:24.000  
likewise. So nothing that we were talking about this morning so

1047  
00:54:23.100 --> 00:54:27.500  
far, but all the general enhancements and

1048  
00:54:26.500 --> 00:54:28.000  
the main

1049  
00:54:29.300 --> 00:54:33.000  
Query really is that there will be potentially some

1050  
00:54:32.500 --> 00:54:35.400  
enhancements all compensation that will be created

1051  
00:54:35.400 --> 00:54:38.200  
but when it comes to 40 years and then the

1052  
00:54:38.200 --> 00:54:41.400  
of the during the operational period and

1053  
00:54:41.400 --> 00:54:44.400  
that habitat, some of them will be established two varying

1054  
00:54:44.400 --> 00:54:48.200  
degrees depending on whether it's grass and or Woodland and

1055  
00:54:47.200 --> 00:54:50.100  
at that 40 year

1056  
00:54:50.100 --> 00:54:53.600  
period when the decommissioning phase starts,

1057  
00:54:53.600 --> 00:54:56.300  
there isn't any commitment within the

1058  
00:54:56.300 --> 00:54:59.600  
planning or the application to

1059  
00:54:59.600 --> 00:55:02.800  
retain those habitats beyond that

1060

00:55:02.800 --> 00:55:05.300  
stage because they'll be returned back

1061

00:55:05.300 --> 00:55:08.100  
to the landowners. The hope is they'll be retained for

1062

00:55:08.100 --> 00:55:11.400  
nature conservation, but there is no guarantee. So while

1063

00:55:11.400 --> 00:55:12.000  
there is a

1064

00:55:12.700 --> 00:55:15.500  
a potential if we get the details of

1065

00:55:15.500 --> 00:55:19.000  
some enhancements for some aspects they will

1066

00:55:18.100 --> 00:55:21.400  
be they'll only be temporary and

1067

00:55:21.400 --> 00:55:25.000  
that needs to be reflected really within the assessments provided.

1068

00:55:27.600 --> 00:55:30.100  
Thank you any comments from other horses before

1069

00:55:30.100 --> 00:55:34.100  
I return to the applicant. Yes, the number of hands going up, Mr. Kazalco.

1070

00:55:35.900 --> 00:55:37.300  
E think about a minute there Mr. Woodfield.

1071

00:55:38.700 --> 00:55:41.200  
Thank you. Yes, Dominic Whitfield for say notice enica

1072

00:55:41.200 --> 00:55:44.500  
and two points. If I may Mom first one



1073

00:55:44.500 --> 00:55:48.400

is to respond to the comments that we just heard. So in the

1074

00:55:48.400 --> 00:55:51.300

first instance this seemed to be this fixation on the part of

1075

00:55:51.300 --> 00:55:54.200

the applicant with saying that it's because it's all arable land

1076

00:55:54.200 --> 00:55:58.000

is rubbish. And now there are there is

1077

00:55:57.500 --> 00:56:00.300

a general element of support that arable land can

1078

00:56:00.300 --> 00:56:03.600

be damaging to buy diversity but as an

1079

00:56:03.600 --> 00:56:06.900

accident of geography and soils and various factors the

1080

00:56:06.900 --> 00:56:09.400

arable land in this case is not the

1081

00:56:09.400 --> 00:56:12.200

lowest possible denominator and yet that's how

1082

00:56:12.200 --> 00:56:15.900

it's been treated both in the assessments and in the biodiversity again

1083

00:56:15.900 --> 00:56:18.900

assessments and yet by

1084

00:56:18.900 --> 00:56:21.500

the applicant Zone information it is it

1085

00:56:21.500 --> 00:56:25.000

is grading some of the arable floral fields as

1086

00:56:24.300 --> 00:56:27.600  
a district or County importance and yet

1087  
00:56:27.600 --> 00:56:30.300  
when it comes to actually calculating the value in the

1088  
00:56:30.300 --> 00:56:33.000  
biodiversity in that game calculations, it emotes that

1089  
00:56:33.200 --> 00:56:36.200  
value back down to the lowest possible common denominator. Now,  
that's an

1090  
00:56:36.200 --> 00:56:38.500  
incongruous position for it to take one.

1091  
00:56:38.700 --> 00:56:41.300  
Things I would ask is whether the applicant is

1092  
00:56:41.300 --> 00:56:44.700  
intending to take a more considered view of in

1093  
00:56:44.700 --> 00:56:47.400  
its use of the of the metric calculator to

1094  
00:56:47.400 --> 00:56:50.400  
reflect the actual reality on the ground and not

1095  
00:56:50.400 --> 00:56:53.400  
the defaults that the calculator allows it to exploit if

1096  
00:56:53.400 --> 00:56:56.800  
it wants to so there's there's that question

1097  
00:56:56.800 --> 00:56:57.100  
there.

1098  
00:56:58.800 --> 00:57:01.700  
The second point I wanted to make was a again another

1099  
00:57:01.700 --> 00:57:04.500

query. I think it's apps takeaway. Is that

1100

00:57:04.500 --> 00:57:07.600

all of block we've heard lots of reference today to

1101

00:57:07.600 --> 00:57:10.500

send this to the lengths and to the monitoring and and all

1102

00:57:10.500 --> 00:57:13.100

of the reactive measures that is

1103

00:57:13.100 --> 00:57:16.400

going to take an army of ecological class of works

1104

00:57:16.400 --> 00:57:19.800

over an area of land of this scale. There are

1105

00:57:19.800 --> 00:57:22.500

going to be so many interfaces between construction works and

1106

00:57:22.500 --> 00:57:25.300

sensitive habitats and and and

1107

00:57:25.300 --> 00:57:28.600

sensitive species that are going to need to be monitored and

1108

00:57:28.600 --> 00:57:31.600

yet all we ever see reference to is ecological class of

1109

00:57:31.600 --> 00:57:34.000

works in the singular now, I think there needs to

1110

00:57:34.200 --> 00:57:37.300

be a resourcing implication there that needs to be looked at and  
I'll be

1111

00:57:37.300 --> 00:57:40.300

looking to the applicant hopefully to address that

1112

00:57:40.300 --> 00:57:43.600

question in submissions, and I think

1113

00:57:43.600 --> 00:57:46.300

that's all I want. Thank you. Thank you, Dr.

1114

00:57:46.300 --> 00:57:47.100

Fordham.

1115

00:57:48.600 --> 00:57:49.900

Thank you, Mom.

1116

00:57:50.700 --> 00:57:53.200

Um, this isn't really my special topic, but I

1117

00:57:53.200 --> 00:57:56.700

did want to raise a question which I

1118

00:57:56.700 --> 00:57:59.800

haven't heard mentioned so far, which I find slightly odd

1119

00:57:59.800 --> 00:58:02.500

in the context of an ecology in biodiversity are

1120

00:58:02.500 --> 00:58:05.200

hearing which is potential if that's on

1121

00:58:05.200 --> 00:58:08.600

the local microclimate caused by the presence

1122

00:58:08.600 --> 00:58:11.700

of vast areas of solar panels

1123

00:58:11.700 --> 00:58:14.200

in my trawl at the

1124

00:58:14.200 --> 00:58:17.500

scientific literature. I've come up with a couple of

1125

00:58:17.500 --> 00:58:21.900

papers which interested me one from semi-arid

1126

00:58:20.900 --> 00:58:24.400  
environment in Arizona Tea

1127  
00:58:23.400 --> 00:58:26.700  
heat island effect large solar

1128  
00:58:26.700 --> 00:58:29.600  
power plants increase local temperatures. The other

1129  
00:58:29.600 --> 00:58:33.700  
one is from the University of Lancaster and in

1130  
00:58:33.700 --> 00:58:36.200  
a British context solar Park

1131  
00:58:36.200 --> 00:58:40.200  
microclimate and education American defects on Grassland carbon

1132  
00:58:39.200 --> 00:58:43.500  
cycling and that goes

1133  
00:58:42.500 --> 00:58:45.300  
into some detail on changes in

1134  
00:58:45.300 --> 00:58:48.700  
things like soil temperatures air temperatures and

1135  
00:58:48.700 --> 00:58:49.900  
even humidity measures in

1136  
00:58:50.200 --> 00:58:54.400  
Is locations within the solar array.

1137  
00:58:54.400 --> 00:58:57.200  
So I I simply raise the

1138  
00:58:57.200 --> 00:59:01.200  
question has any evaluation of changes

1139  
00:59:00.200 --> 00:59:04.200  
in the local microclimate being

1140

00:59:03.200 --> 00:59:06.900  
a conducted consequent on

1141

00:59:06.900 --> 00:59:09.300  
the large arrays so

1142

00:59:09.300 --> 00:59:12.600  
the panels and the consequent impact of

1143

00:59:12.600 --> 00:59:15.800  
those changes on ecology and biodiversity.

1144

00:59:15.800 --> 00:59:18.300  
Thank you Dr. Border. Would you be able to submit

1145

00:59:18.300 --> 00:59:21.800  
those references to the examination? Absolutely, you

1146

00:59:21.800 --> 00:59:22.400  
could have a word.

1147

00:59:23.100 --> 00:59:25.200  
Case manager and helpful. Thank

1148

00:59:27.400 --> 00:59:29.600  
You it turns the applicant. Yes, Mr. Bedford.

1149

00:59:30.400 --> 00:59:33.300  
All right by the southern County

1150

00:59:33.300 --> 00:59:37.100  
Council again just reiterated the point that we substantially defer

1151

00:59:36.100 --> 00:59:40.800  
to the district on ecological matters,

1152

00:59:40.800 --> 00:59:43.300  
but in relation to the point raised by

1153

00:59:43.300 --> 00:59:46.600  
Mr. Mohammed on behalf

1154  
00:59:46.600 --> 00:59:49.300  
of the Cambridge County Council and East Cambridge year

1155  
00:59:49.300 --> 00:59:52.800  
about the Post 40 year period it does

1156  
00:59:52.800 --> 00:59:55.900  
echo which is made specifically in

1157  
00:59:55.900 --> 00:59:59.400  
the local impact report at paragraph

1158  
00:59:58.400 --> 01:00:02.800  
8163 to 8165.

1159  
01:00:04.300 --> 01:00:08.600  
About what the intentions are post the

1160  
01:00:07.600 --> 01:00:11.400  
40-year operational period and

1161  
01:00:10.400 --> 01:00:13.900  
that has a bearing on how one

1162  
01:00:13.900 --> 01:00:16.700  
approaches the question of ecological

1163  
01:00:16.700 --> 01:00:20.500  
enhancement. For example,

1164  
01:00:20.500 --> 01:00:22.000  
if you look in

1165  
01:00:23.100 --> 01:00:24.200  
the

1166  
01:00:26.900 --> 01:00:30.100  
updated landscape and ecology management plan as

1167

01:00:29.100 --> 01:00:32.500  
at Brett three, that's rep 3012.

1168

01:00:33.300 --> 01:00:37.000  
And just a couple of references Table Three is

1169

01:00:36.200 --> 01:00:39.600  
the summary of estimated Woodland and hetero

1170

01:00:39.600 --> 01:00:40.400  
locking game.

1171

01:00:41.100 --> 01:00:45.600  
And then paragraph 1.7.32 provides the

1172

01:00:45.600 --> 01:00:49.300  
data on the creation of replacement grassland habitats.

1173

01:00:49.900 --> 01:00:52.800  
And all those figures please show on the

1174

01:00:52.800 --> 01:00:55.500  
arithmetic net editions so

1175

01:00:55.500 --> 01:00:58.200  
that there is a proposal to add

1176

01:00:58.200 --> 01:01:03.200  
50.24 hectares Woodland 6.322 kilometers

1177

01:01:02.200 --> 01:01:04.500  
of hedge row.

1178

01:01:05.400 --> 01:01:09.300  
99 hectares and 66 hectares

1179

01:01:08.300 --> 01:01:12.000  
Sonic Reese and Sonic A

1180



01:01:11.300 --> 01:01:15.000  
and B of biodiverse habitats

1181  
01:01:16.300 --> 01:01:20.100  
But it needs to be understood that thereafter. There are

1182  
01:01:19.100 --> 01:01:23.000  
no mechanisms being proposed to secure

1183  
01:01:22.400 --> 01:01:26.600  
those new provisions.

1184  
01:01:27.500 --> 01:01:30.100  
And the landowners are being given the

1185  
01:01:30.100 --> 01:01:31.500  
Liberty to decide.

1186  
01:01:32.200 --> 01:01:35.200  
Merely subject to any regulatory restrictions that

1187  
01:01:35.200 --> 01:01:38.800  
are imposed on them what happens to learn thereafter

1188  
01:01:38.800 --> 01:01:41.700  
and we'll see here the two it's been

1189  
01:01:41.700 --> 01:01:44.100  
in their agricultural interest to use it

1190  
01:01:44.100 --> 01:01:47.600  
in the way that it's currently being used. So that is

1191  
01:01:47.600 --> 01:01:50.300  
just a point that we've made as a general Point throughout and we

1192  
01:01:50.300 --> 01:01:54.000  
just reiterate it in the life of the approach

1193  
01:01:53.000 --> 01:01:54.200  
take

1194

01:01:58.100 --> 01:02:00.500

I just ask a question now, Mr. Bedford.

1195

01:02:03.400 --> 01:02:05.400

Do you have any specific proposals?

1196

01:02:06.500 --> 01:02:08.000

of your own as to how

1197

01:02:08.800 --> 01:02:11.900

that situation might be catered For

1198

01:02:12.900 --> 01:02:16.400

Yes, thank you,

1199

01:02:16.400 --> 01:02:19.900

sir. Michael Bedford Suffolk County Council. Clearly.

1200

01:02:19.900 --> 01:02:22.400

The applicant is

1201

01:02:22.400 --> 01:02:24.700

seeking through the development consent order.

1202

01:02:25.500 --> 01:02:27.200

compulsory acquisition powers

1203

01:02:28.500 --> 01:02:30.100

in relation to all of the parcels

1204

01:02:31.200 --> 01:02:35.300

that will accommodate the solar panels.

1205

01:02:36.700 --> 01:02:39.300

And whilst the applicant no doubt will

1206

01:02:39.300 --> 01:02:41.300

choose whether to exercise those powers.

1207

01:02:42.400 --> 01:02:45.900

Or not because they may be private agreements with the landowners which

1208

01:02:45.900 --> 01:02:48.600

mean that the powers aren't exercised. It will

1209

01:02:48.600 --> 01:02:51.600

be open to the applicant under the develop consent

1210

01:02:51.600 --> 01:02:54.200

order to take that land into Freehold ownership.

1211

01:02:55.900 --> 01:02:58.200

If that line goes into the applicant's control

1212

01:02:58.200 --> 01:03:01.400

under Freehold ownership, it equally follows

1213

01:03:01.400 --> 01:03:05.600

that the terms on which it is returned to the landowners is

1214

01:03:04.600 --> 01:03:07.900

within the applicant's control or

1215

01:03:07.900 --> 01:03:08.100

gift.

1216

01:03:09.600 --> 01:03:13.200

And therefore it is entirely a matter

1217

01:03:12.200 --> 01:03:13.800

for the applicant.

1218

01:03:14.700 --> 01:03:17.000

To decide whether when the land

1219

01:03:17.200 --> 01:03:20.100

is handed back. It is handed back

1220

01:03:20.100 --> 01:03:23.900

as it were with a clean sheet untraveled or

1221

01:03:23.900 --> 01:03:25.600

it's handed back subject to

1222

01:03:26.800 --> 01:03:29.800

restrictions that would either

1223

01:03:29.800 --> 01:03:32.900

ensure continuation of

1224

01:03:32.900 --> 01:03:33.900

ecological

1225

01:03:35.300 --> 01:03:37.400

and Landscape Management regimes

1226

01:03:38.900 --> 01:03:40.700

or at least allow for

1227

01:03:41.900 --> 01:03:45.300

a reviewing conjunction with the local authorities as

1228

01:03:44.300 --> 01:03:48.100

to whether those ecological or

1229

01:03:47.100 --> 01:03:50.600

landscape regime should be maintained or indeed

1230

01:03:50.600 --> 01:03:54.400

should be buried. So it's a

1231

01:03:54.400 --> 01:03:58.700

matter that can be the subject of further control.

1232

01:03:59.700 --> 01:04:01.000

It would be for the applicant.

1233

01:04:02.500 --> 01:04:05.500

In setting the terms on which the land is handed back.

1234

01:04:06.500 --> 01:04:08.000  
to secure as an obviously

1235

01:04:09.900 --> 01:04:12.700  
if the examining Authority

1236

01:04:12.700 --> 01:04:15.000  
in the light of the conversed

1237

01:04:15.500 --> 01:04:18.500  
considers that the longer term should be secured.

1238

01:04:18.500 --> 01:04:21.600  
There are ample mechanisms through the

1239

01:04:21.600 --> 01:04:24.900  
DCA to ensure that those

1240

01:04:24.900 --> 01:04:28.000  
further controls to endure

1241

01:04:27.100 --> 01:04:28.700  
for the longer term.

1242

01:04:30.600 --> 01:04:33.000  
Thank you. Thank you it on the

1243

01:04:33.100 --> 01:04:36.600  
rather than phrase Temple mechanisms. That's really

1244

01:04:36.600 --> 01:04:36.800  
what?

1245

01:04:37.900 --> 01:04:39.500  
This is a serious proposition.

1246

01:04:40.500 --> 01:04:42.600  
Which I don't doubt it is.

1247

01:04:45.200 --> 01:04:47.300

Speaking for myself. I would like to see.

1248

01:04:49.300 --> 01:04:50.800  
more detail is to

1249

01:04:51.700 --> 01:04:55.000  
what that mechanism might be whether it's

1250

01:04:54.200 --> 01:04:57.100  
a feasible mechanism in the

1251

01:04:57.100 --> 01:04:59.500  
context of the dco.

1252

01:05:00.200 --> 01:05:03.900  
And also I suppose in The Wider context of the exercise

1253

01:05:03.900 --> 01:05:07.400  
of compulsory purchase powers and things

1254

01:05:06.400 --> 01:05:09.200  
that naturally flow from that.

1255

01:05:10.700 --> 01:05:13.400  
From from those issues and again

1256

01:05:13.400 --> 01:05:18.800  
without getting into any any compensation

1257

01:05:16.800 --> 01:05:20.000  
Quantum issues,

1258

01:05:19.200 --> 01:05:22.500  
which obviously is not for the examining authority

1259

01:05:22.500 --> 01:05:25.700  
to look at just very something

1260

01:05:25.700 --> 01:05:28.700  
that might my impinge

1261

01:05:28.700 --> 01:05:29.700  
on that process.

1262

01:05:30.900 --> 01:05:33.300  
So Mike methods for the

1263

01:05:33.300 --> 01:05:36.300  
County Council, so we was

1264

01:05:36.300 --> 01:05:39.400  
only Endeavor in our posterior submissions

1265

01:05:39.400 --> 01:05:42.800  
Pride a bit more of a signpost is what we're thinking about that

1266

01:05:42.800 --> 01:05:44.200  
could be usefully we say

1267

01:05:45.700 --> 01:05:48.500  
Included within the mechanisms available under the dco

1268

01:05:48.500 --> 01:05:52.500  
but to provide further reassurance on

1269

01:05:52.500 --> 01:05:55.200  
that the sooner you can provide it the best and I

1270

01:05:55.200 --> 01:05:58.500  
look forward to see that. Thank you Mr. Mohammed. I

1271

01:05:58.500 --> 01:06:01.800  
think you it was just to say that I have discussed

1272

01:06:01.800 --> 01:06:04.000  
this issue with my letter friend and I

1273

01:06:04.200 --> 01:06:07.400  
wanted I wanted to associate myself what he has said quite

1274

01:06:07.400 --> 01:06:10.100

apart from the mechanism aspect of it, which I

1275

01:06:10.100 --> 01:06:13.100

will also attempt to Endeavor and assisting you

1276

01:06:13.900 --> 01:06:16.900

Principle is something that you will see emerge

1277

01:06:16.900 --> 01:06:19.400

in a number of the topics. We're discussing of the

1278

01:06:19.400 --> 01:06:22.500

next couple of days whether it's about historic environment

1279

01:06:22.500 --> 01:06:25.700

issues public rights of way that mechanism.

1280

01:06:26.900 --> 01:06:29.600

Is one thing but the principle of what happens

1281

01:06:29.600 --> 01:06:30.300

afterwards.

1282

01:06:31.400 --> 01:06:34.500

When this land is handed back is a theme

1283

01:06:34.500 --> 01:06:36.900

that you will see emerge when we get to those other times.

1284

01:06:39.600 --> 01:06:40.000

Thank you for that.

1285

01:06:43.500 --> 01:06:46.700

Mr. Attorney you have quite a list there terrific

1286

01:06:46.700 --> 01:06:47.100

to

1287

01:06:48.100 --> 01:06:52.100

rich said if the applicant I'm going to try and take it quite shortly. I think



1288

01:06:52.100 --> 01:06:54.600  
we do need to be realistic.

1289

01:06:56.600 --> 01:06:58.100  
And about the scale of the impacts.

1290

01:06:58.700 --> 01:06:59.200  
the nature

1291

01:06:59.700 --> 01:07:00.400  
of the mitigation

1292

01:07:01.500 --> 01:07:04.500  
I think Professor Wade is summarized it.

1293

01:07:05.700 --> 01:07:08.300  
You need to look at the scale of what we're proposing in

1294

01:07:08.300 --> 01:07:11.700  
terms of taking land out of intensive agricultural production.

1295

01:07:12.300 --> 01:07:15.400  
And that is why evidently we can

1296

01:07:15.400 --> 01:07:18.100  
deliver net biodiversity gains across

1297

01:07:18.100 --> 01:07:20.400  
the lifetime of the scheme evidently we can

1298

01:07:20.900 --> 01:07:23.000  
and although you're going to

1299

01:07:23.300 --> 01:07:26.100  
hear arguments about particular species or about particular ways in  
which

1300

01:07:26.100 --> 01:07:29.900  
the metric has been applied. No one is really seriously going

1301

01:07:29.900 --> 01:07:30.600  
to contest that.

1302

01:07:31.100 --> 01:07:34.300  
Position because it's not contestable.

1303

01:07:35.300 --> 01:07:38.900  
So it's a benefit of the scheme, which you will have to weigh.  
That's

1304

01:07:38.900 --> 01:07:41.600  
the underlying point about ecology a benefit a

1305

01:07:41.600 --> 01:07:45.400  
scheme benefit the extent to which you apply

1306

01:07:44.400 --> 01:07:46.700  
way to it will be a matter for you.

1307

01:07:47.500 --> 01:07:50.400  
In terms of the duration of biodiversity netgame

1308

01:07:50.400 --> 01:07:53.100  
provision again, we just need

1309

01:07:53.100 --> 01:07:56.300  
to be realistic if you apply for planning permission and

1310

01:07:56.300 --> 01:07:59.300  
relying biodiversity Net game under the provisions and the

1311

01:07:59.300 --> 01:08:02.700  
environment act in terms of the emerging guidance that coming on

1312

01:08:02.700 --> 01:08:05.600  
biodiversity net gain and indeed local plan

1313

01:08:05.600 --> 01:08:06.500  
policies that deal.

1314

01:08:07.200 --> 01:08:10.900

It's a 30-year period you would need to secure biodiversity net

1315

01:08:10.900 --> 01:08:14.100

gain for so if you propose permanent

1316

01:08:13.100 --> 01:08:16.100

development of land you'd be

1317

01:08:16.100 --> 01:08:19.600

expected to demonstrate that your net gain sites

1318

01:08:19.600 --> 01:08:22.600

would be kept in biodiversity use for 30

1319

01:08:22.600 --> 01:08:25.600

years. We propose a temporary development of

1320

01:08:25.600 --> 01:08:27.000

40 years development.

1321

01:08:27.400 --> 01:08:30.600

And we propose to put that biodiversity net gain and Security

1322

01:08:30.600 --> 01:08:34.300

in place for 40 years so longer

1323

01:08:33.300 --> 01:08:36.900

than if we were proposing permanent development

1324

01:08:36.900 --> 01:08:37.600

of the land.

1325

01:08:38.800 --> 01:08:41.400

I think that's really a central point and I hope that

1326

01:08:41.400 --> 01:08:44.900

Mr. Mohammed and Mr. Bedford when they rise up out there  
alternative

1327

01:08:44.900 --> 01:08:47.800

approach will grapple with why we should

1328

01:08:47.800 --> 01:08:50.200

do more in a temporary scheme than would be

1329

01:08:50.200 --> 01:08:54.600

required for permanent development under any other consenting regime.

1330

01:08:55.500 --> 01:08:58.100

The second Point goes to that

1331

01:08:58.100 --> 01:08:58.400

as well.

1332

01:08:59.200 --> 01:08:59.700

which is

1333

01:09:01.100 --> 01:09:04.300

why it would be reasonable applying the

1334

01:09:04.300 --> 01:09:08.000

statutory to statutory and policy tests

1335

01:09:07.600 --> 01:09:10.700

for imposing a requirement or a

1336

01:09:10.700 --> 01:09:13.800

requiring a development consent obligation

1337

01:09:13.800 --> 01:09:15.600

won't be reasonable.

1338

01:09:16.300 --> 01:09:19.500

To require this scheme to continue

1339

01:09:19.500 --> 01:09:22.700

to mitigate for and compensate for its

1340

01:09:22.700 --> 01:09:23.600

environmental effects.

1341

01:09:24.400 --> 01:09:25.100

After it has gone.

1342

01:09:26.200 --> 01:09:29.400

And that's another point which we'll have to think about and again  
Mr. Bedford

1343

01:09:29.400 --> 01:09:32.900

and Mr. Mohammed will doubt its address. They will tell you why it  
would

1344

01:09:32.900 --> 01:09:35.700

be reasonable to impose a requirement that required

1345

01:09:35.700 --> 01:09:37.600

this scheme to mitigate its effects.

1346

01:09:37.900 --> 01:09:38.800

After it has gone.

1347

01:09:39.600 --> 01:09:42.200

Because in my submission it would never be reasonable to.

1348

01:09:43.900 --> 01:09:45.900

record the point that follows from that, is that the

1349

01:09:46.900 --> 01:09:49.400

decommissioning Environmental Management plan, which

1350

01:09:49.400 --> 01:09:51.700

is produced in an outline or framework for

1351

01:09:53.300 --> 01:09:56.100

ensures that at the end

1352

01:09:56.100 --> 01:09:57.500

of the Project's decommissioning

1353

01:09:58.400 --> 01:10:02.700

that that land is in its state.

1354

01:10:01.700 --> 01:10:04.300

It's appropriate state for

1355

01:10:04.300 --> 01:10:07.400

ecological management and mitigation compensation. Whatever the particular

1356

01:10:07.400 --> 01:10:08.900

role will be.

1357

01:10:09.600 --> 01:10:12.500

In other words, once this scheme has finished entirely decommissioned

1358

01:10:12.500 --> 01:10:14.700

that land will be in that state.

1359

01:10:15.400 --> 01:10:18.200

So say 45 years after it's constructed it will

1360

01:10:18.200 --> 01:10:18.800

be like that.

1361

01:10:20.600 --> 01:10:21.000

when and again

1362

01:10:21.700 --> 01:10:24.000

would it then be reasonable to require that land to be

1363

01:10:24.300 --> 01:10:27.800

managed in the future in accordance with a prescription that assumes

1364

01:10:27.800 --> 01:10:31.100

the presence of the state of farm, which is no longer there in my

1365

01:10:30.100 --> 01:10:32.400

submission. Obviously unreasonable.

1366

01:10:33.200 --> 01:10:36.300

And then the final point on that is the suggestion of compulsory acquisition.

1367

01:10:37.400 --> 01:10:40.100

This again. They're going to

1368

01:10:40.100 --> 01:10:43.500

have to address this if it's get put forward as a realistic suggestion.

1369

01:10:44.800 --> 01:10:45.100

if

1370

01:10:46.400 --> 01:10:49.500

the applicant can secure by private agreement

1371

01:10:50.600 --> 01:10:53.900

the rights to use the land for

1372

01:10:53.900 --> 01:10:56.600

the duration of the solar Farms

1373

01:10:56.600 --> 01:10:56.800

life.

1374

01:10:57.700 --> 01:10:59.500

If it can do that by private agreement.

1375

01:11:00.800 --> 01:11:03.400

Why should it be required to rely on

1376

01:11:03.400 --> 01:11:05.200

compulsory acquisition powers?

1377

01:11:06.400 --> 01:11:09.300

Take that Land from the landowners in perpetuity.

1378

01:11:10.300 --> 01:11:13.400

And I just want again. Hopefully the local authorities will

1379

01:11:13.400 --> 01:11:16.600

explain that by reference to the poultry acquisition guidance

1380

01:11:16.600 --> 01:11:17.600

as to why we should

1381

01:11:18.600 --> 01:11:21.300

be required to permanently acquire Net

1382

01:11:21.300 --> 01:11:24.800

game plots in circumstances where we are

1383

01:11:24.800 --> 01:11:27.900

able to negotiate by private treaty to

1384

01:11:27.900 --> 01:11:30.500

lease the land for the duration of the scheme

1385

01:11:30.500 --> 01:11:33.800

and I think on each of those points, I expect that

1386

01:11:33.800 --> 01:11:36.500

there won't be an answer

1387

01:11:36.500 --> 01:11:38.200

that can convince you.

1388

01:11:38.800 --> 01:11:41.600

That requiring us to mitigate or compensate for

1389

01:11:41.600 --> 01:11:44.400

the effects of the scheme after it has gone is a reasonable one.

1390

01:11:45.500 --> 01:11:47.800

And I think on the other points in terms of the lamp.

1391

01:11:48.400 --> 01:11:50.600

And the measures in the length and the stem.

1392

01:11:51.400 --> 01:11:54.400

We again as I said, we're going to submit updates to



1393

01:11:54.400 --> 01:11:57.800

those documents that deadline five. So rather than going

1394

01:11:57.800 --> 01:12:00.500

to be important detail. I I'll leave them there and

1395

01:12:00.500 --> 01:12:03.400

in respect to dot fordham's point. I think we'll take

1396

01:12:03.400 --> 01:12:06.200

away when he submits those documents. He's referred to will take

1397

01:12:06.200 --> 01:12:09.200

away his point about microbias and comment on it if we feel

1398

01:12:09.200 --> 01:12:11.000

it's necessary to do so.

1399

01:12:11.400 --> 01:12:12.200

Thank you.

1400

01:12:13.300 --> 01:12:16.600

Thank you very much, Mr. Tony. Are

1401

01:12:16.600 --> 01:12:19.300

there any final comments before we break for lunch?

1402

01:12:23.700 --> 01:12:26.900

No in that case that concludes.

1403

01:12:28.600 --> 01:12:31.200

Item two ecology and biodiversity, so

1404

01:12:31.200 --> 01:12:34.600

we will now break for lunch and return Please

1405

01:12:34.600 --> 01:12:37.300

at 2:15 PM when we will

1406

01:12:37.300 --> 01:12:39.900

move on to Historic environment. Thank you.